arXiv:1702.03541v1 [math.SG] 12 Feb 2017

POISSON STRUCTURES OF NEAR-SYMPLECTIC MANIFOLDS AND THEIR COHOMOLOGY

PANAGIOTIS BATAKIDIS AND RAMÓN VERA

ABSTRACT. In this work we connect Poisson and near-symplectic geometry by showing that there are two almost regular Poisson structures induced by a near-symplectic $2n$–manifold. The first structure is of maximal rank $2n$ and vanishes on a codimension–2 subspace. The second one is log-f symplectic of maximal rank $2n-2$. We then compute the Poisson cohomology of the former structure in dimension 4, showing that it is finite and depends on the modular class. We also determine the cohomology of a different Poisson structure on smooth 4-manifolds, the one associated to broken Lefschetz fibrations. This completes the cohomology of the possible degeneracies of singular Poisson structures in dimension 4.

1. Introduction

It is well known that symplectic and Poisson structures are naturally related. Given a symplectic form on a smooth manifold we can associate a regular Poisson structure, whose symplectic leaf is the whole manifold. Relaxing the non-degeneracy condition of a symplectic form leads to a singular symplectic structure. A type of such singular structure is given by a near-sympectic form. This is a closed 2-form ω on a smooth $2n$–manifold M that is positively non-degenerate outside a codimension-3 submanifold, where $\omega^{n-1}=0$ transversally. It is then of interest to look at the associated Poisson structure induced by near-symplectic forms.

The idea of looking at near-symplectic forms goes back to Taubes in relationship to J-holomorphic curves, Seiberg-Witten and Gromov invariants [30, 31]. In dimension 4, these objects are related to self-dual harmonic forms [14, 31], and they have also been studied in the context of broken Lefschetz fibrations by Auroux, Donaldson, and Katzarkov [3]. A useful property of a near-symplectic form is that the normal bundle of the singular locus Z_ω splits into a line bundle and a plane bundle (see Section 2.2). We show that there exist two Poisson structures induced by the 2-form and that they are almost regular [1]. By almost regular it is meant that the Poisson bivector π is regular on an open dense set of M and π^k is a section of a line bundle in $\Lambda^{2k}TM$ for some predetermined integer k (see Section 3.3). Our first result shows the connection between near-symplectic and Poisson structures.

Theorem 1.1. *Let (M,ω) be a $2n$–dimensional near-symplectic manifold and denote by $Z_\omega\subset M$ the singular locus of the 2-form. Let N_Z be the normal bundle of Z_ω, which splits into a line bundle L^1 and a plane bundle L^2, i.e. $N_Z\simeq L^1\oplus L^2$. There are two almost regular Poisson structures on N_Z:*

(i) *a singular Poisson structure of maximal rank $2n$ such that π^n vanishes on L^1, and*
(ii) *a log-f symplectic structure of maximal rank $2n-2$ whose degeneracy locus is Z_ω.*

The proof of this Theorem appears in Section 3. Proposition 3.1 constructs the Poisson structure (i) on (M, ω), and Proposition 3.7 proves that it is almost regular. In dimension 4, we refer to this structure as near-positive. Proposition 3.4 deals with the Poisson structure (ii) and Lemma 3.9 classifies it as log-f symplectic.

We apply part (i) of Theorem 1.1 in dimension 4 to show the existence of *near-positive Poisson bivectors* on 4–manifolds (see Section 4.1). A near-positive Poisson bivector is a singular Poisson bivector π of maximal rank 4 such that π vanishes transversally on a 2-dimensional subspace D_π of a smooth 4–manifold X and $\pi^2 > 0$ on $X \setminus D_\pi$. By Theorem 1.1 these Poisson structures exist on any 4–manifold X admitting a near-symplectic form, that is, any smooth, oriented X with second positive Betti number satisfying $b_2^+(X) > 0$ [18, 14].

The near-positive Poisson structure allows us to consider degeneracies in the rank of π that, together with the regular and log-symplectic cases, almost completes the list of possible degeneracies that π can have on an oriented 4–manifold. Let us explain this briefly:

Let X be a smooth oriented 4-manifold and $\pi \in \Gamma(\Lambda^2 TX)$ a Poisson bivector. In terms of distinct degeneracies in the rank of π, we have that at any point $p \in X$, π can have rank 4, 2, or 0 along symplectic leaves, so one has the following cases:

(*i*) Rank$(\pi_p) = 4$, where $\pi^2(p) \neq 0$
(*ii*) Rank$(\pi_p) = 2$, where $\pi^2(p) = 0$, but $\pi(p) \neq 0$
(*iii*) Rank$(\pi_p) = 0$.

Regular Poisson structures are those with constant rank at all points of X. On one end of the spectrum we find symplectic manifolds, which determine a regular Poisson bivector satisfying condition (*i*) everywhere. On the other end, a trivial Poisson structure corresponds to case (*iii*). If a Poisson structure is singular, there can be a combination of the three cases in the list, at different points of the manifold. For instance, *log-symplectic* structures are those equipped with a Poisson bivector π on an even dimensional manifold M such that π^n is transverse to the zero section in $\Lambda^{2n} TM$. In dimension 4, they capture cases (*i*) and (*ii*); the rank of π is maximal except at a codimension-1 submanifold, where π^2 vanishes transversally. The near-positive structure that we introduce covers cases (*i*) and (*iii*). To characterize this list we turn into Poisson cohomology, since this is a natural invariant.

Poisson cohomology is a way to characterize global properties of Poisson structures. It was introduced by Lichnerowicz in 1977 [20], and its cohomology spaces reveal features about deformations, normal forms, derivations, and diverse invariants of a Poisson structure. In terms of our objects of interest, it was essential in the work of Radko [28] in order to classify topologically stable Poisson structures on smooth, compact, oriented, surfaces. These structures were later generalized under the name of *log* or *b-symplectic* structures. The Poisson cohomology of b-symplectic structures is determined in the work of Guillemin, Miranda, and Pires [12], where it is computed to be a mixture of the de Rham cohomology of the ambient manifold and of the singular locus. The Poisson cohomology of the near-positive structure coming from a near-symplectic form [Theorem 1.1 - (i)] presents an analogous behaviour with log-symplectic structures. Its cohomology splits into generators associated to the Poisson structure and the de Rham cohomology of the 4–manifold. We state now our second main result.

Theorem 1.2. *Let (X,ω) be a near-symplectic 4–manifold with a near-positive Poisson structure π. Denote by n the total number of circles in the singular locus Z_ω. The Poisson cohomology of (X,π) is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}
&H^0_\pi(X,\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R} = \operatorname{span}\langle 1\rangle\\
&H^1_\pi(X,\mathbb{R}) \simeq \mathbb{R}^{2n} \oplus H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(X) \;\simeq\; \bigoplus_{k=1}^{n} \operatorname{span}\left\langle Y_k^\Omega(\pi)\,,\, \partial_k^{L^1}\right\rangle \oplus H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(X)\\
&H^2_\pi(X,\mathbb{R}) \simeq \mathbb{R}^{n} \oplus H^2_{\mathrm{dR}}(X) \simeq \bigoplus_{k=1}^{n} \operatorname{span}\left\langle Y_k^\Omega(\pi)\wedge \partial_k^{L^1}\right\rangle \oplus H^2_{\mathrm{dR}}(X)\\
&H^3_\pi(X,\mathbb{R}) \simeq H^3_{\mathrm{dR}}(X,\mathbb{R})\\
&H^4_\pi(X,\mathbb{R}) \simeq H^4_{\mathrm{dR}}(X,\mathbb{R})
\end{aligned}$$

where the generators $Y_1^\Omega(\pi),\dots,Y_n^\Omega(\pi)$ of $H^1_\pi(X)$ correspond precisely to the modular vector field of π at each component of the singular locus Z_ω, and $\partial_1^{L^1},\dots,\partial_n^{L^1}$ are non-vanishing vector fields on each component of the line bundle $L^1\subset N_Z$.

Section 4 treats the proof of Theorem 1.2. We first determine the formal cohomology in Proposition 4.7 and the smooth cohomology in Proposition 4.8. Section 4.4 finishes the proof by showing how to pass to smooth global cohomology on all X.

To cover the remaining case of singular Poisson structures in dimension 4, we deal with broken Lefschetz fibrations. Recall that a broken Lefschetz fibration (bLf) exists on any smooth, oriented, closed 4–manifold X [2, 5, 19], and it is a map $f\colon X\to S^2$ from a 4–manifold to the 2-sphere, with a singularity set consisting of a finite collection of circles $\Gamma\subset X$ and a finite set of isolated points $C\subset X$. In [6], the cases (*ii*) and (*iii*) of the previous list are treated by constructing explicitly a Poisson bivector π on the total space of a bLf. This Poisson structure, compatible with a bLf, is of rank 2 on the fibres of f, and vanishes at the singularity set of the fibration (see Section 5.1). For this structure we get our third main result:

Theorem 1.3. *Let X be a smooth, closed, oriented 4–manifold. On any homotopy class of maps from X to S^2, the formal Poisson cohomology of a singular rank 2 Poisson structure associated to a broken Lefschetz fibration vanishing on a finite collection of circles $\Gamma=\{\gamma_1,\dots,\gamma_n\}$ and a finite number of isolated singular points $C=\{p_1,\dots,p_m\}$, is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}
&H^0_\pi(X,\pi) = \mathrm{Cas}\\
&H^1(X,\pi) = \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n}\mathbb{R}[Q_i^1,Q_i^2]\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_i}\right)\oplus\left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^{m}\mathbb{R}[P_k^1,P_k^2]E_k\right)\\
&H^2(X,\pi) = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{m} H_k^2\\
&H^3(X,\pi) = \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n}\mathbb{R}[Q_i^1,Q_i^2]\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}\right)\oplus\left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^{m} H_k^3\right)\\
&H^4(X,\pi) = \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n}\mathbb{R}[Q_i^1,Q_i^2]\mathrm{vol}\right)\oplus\left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^{m} H_k^4\right)
\end{aligned}$$

where

- θ_i *is the parameter of each circle in* Γ *with normal coordinates* (x_1, x_2, x_3)*,*
- Cas *denotes the algebra of Casimirs with* $Q_i^1 = \theta_i, Q_i^2 = -x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2$ *the representatives around* Γ *and* $P_k^1 = x_1^2 - x_2^2 + x_3^2 - x_4^2, P_k^2 = 2(x_1x_2 + x_3x_4)$ *the representatives around* C*,*
- $\mathbb{R}[Q_i^1, Q_i^2]$ *is the algebra of Casimirs around a circle* $\gamma_i \in \Gamma$ *and* $\mathbb{R}[P_k^1, P_k^2]$ *is the algebra of Casimirs around a point* $p_k \in C$*.*
- E_k *is the weighted Euler vector field in coordinates* x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4*, and*
- *Each* H_k^2 *is a free* $\mathbb{R}[P_k^1, P_k^2]-$ *module of rank 6, each* H_k^3 *is a free* $\mathbb{R}[P_k^1, P_k^2]-$ *module of rank 13, and each* H_k^4 *is a free* $\mathbb{R}[P_k^1, P_k^2]-$ *module of rank 7.*

The proof of this Theorem is covered in Section 5. The statement of Theorem 1.3 is at a global level of formal coefficients. In contrast, a global smooth result is possible for Theorem 1.2, because for near-positive structures $\pi^\sharp \colon T^*X \to TX$ on all X. Thus it allows us to pass smoothly from the semi-global cohomology on the normal bundle of Z_ω to the global cohomology on all X.

For weight homogeneous Poisson algebras in 3 variables, Pichereau [27] computed both homology and cohomology under the assumption that the structure is coming from a weight homogeneous polynomial with isolated singularity i.e finite Milnor number. Based on this approach for dimension 4, Pelap [24] gave formulas computing the cohomology spaces when the structure is unimodular, weight homogeneous, with two weight homogeneous Casimirs forming a complete intersection with isolated singularities. He then applied these formulas to the case of Sklyanin algebras. The model of the Poisson bivector on bLfs vanishing on Lefschetz singularities (35) fits this description, and we make use of those results. On the contrary, since the modular vector field of (35) vanishes, the results of Monnier [23] cannot be applied here. In dimension 4, we also find the work of Hong and Xu describing the Poisson cohomology of $\mathbb{CP}^2$ in the context of del Pezzo surfaces [15]. The structures considered in the present note exist on a large class of 4-manifolds, including $\mathbb{CP}^2$, and they present a different cohomology.

It is known that near-symplectic 4-manifolds and bLfs are connected under some assumptions [3]. However, the Poisson structure arising from a bLf is independent of any geometric structure and depends only on the map f. Similarly, the Poisson structure of Theorem 1.2 depends only on the 2-form. Our results show that at the level of Poisson cohomology, the structure arising from a near-symplectic form and the one coming from a bLf display distinct features.

In terms of deformation quantization, the homogeinity of all the local models studied here implies that one has control on the polynomial degree of each term in the $*$- product built for each Poisson structure. As shown in [4] for the more general case of weight homogeneous Poisson structures, if f, g are polynomials of weight k and n respectively, the i-th term $B_i(f, g)$ in the Taylor series defining the $*-$ product will be of weight $k + n - i\overline{\omega}(\pi)$ where $\overline{\omega}(\pi)$ is the weight of the corresponding Poisson structure. However a global existence theorem over these singular spaces is more complicated because of the singularities. With respect to near-symplectic manifolds, a reasonable approach would be through Fedosov's deformation quantization and the use of Whitney functions [26] which are already used in the proof of Proposition 4.8.

At the same time, the present work aims to point in the direction of geometric representation theory in the following way: Symplectic resolutions, that is, projective resolutions of singularities $\rho : \hat{X} \to X$, with X a normal Poisson algebraic variety such that X_{reg} is a symplectic manifold and $\rho^*(\omega_{\text{reg}})$ is symplectic, have been proved to encompass the representation theory of semisimple Lie algebras, W- algebras and Cherednick algebras, while they are closely related to the representation theory of Hecke algebras, Kac-Moody algebras, etc. At this point, deformation quantization has been used in relation to the representation theory of W-algebras [4, 21]. It would thus be interesting to approach the representation theoretic aspect of the structures studied here, either studying directly the related symplectic resolution constructions, or through their deformation quantization.

Acknowledgements

We warmly thank Aissa Wade for fruitful discussions and interest in this work. We also thank Luis García-Naranjo and Pablo Suárez-Serrato for commenting on drafts of this work. Special thanks to Tim Perutz for sharing his exposition of Section 2.2 and sharing a cleaner proof of Lemmata 2.7 and 2.9, and also to Anne Pichereau for suggesting reference [24] and for indicating the application of her results for a part of the proof of Proposition 5.4. We are also very grateful to Viktor Fromm and Alexei Novikov for their comments and feedback.

2. Preliminaries

We recall some basic facts about Poisson geometry. We refer the reader to [17, 33] for details. Let M be a smooth manifold. A bivector field $\pi \in \mathfrak{X}^2(M)$ is called a *Poisson bivector* or a *Poisson structure* if $[\pi, \pi]_{\text{SN}} = 0$, where $[\cdot,\cdot]_{\text{SN}} : \mathfrak{X}^p \times \mathfrak{X}^q \to \mathfrak{X}^{p+q}$ is a well defined $\mathbb{R}$-bilinear local type extension of the Lie derivative, called the *Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket*. This condition can be checked pointwise, in particular, in a local chart. The pair (M, π) is called a *Poisson manifold*. Similar to symplectic manifolds, it is possible to define a vector bundle homomorphism

$$\pi^\sharp \colon T^*M \to TM$$

given pointwise by

$$\pi_p^\sharp(\alpha_p) = \pi_p(\alpha_p, \cdot)$$

The map $\pi^\sharp$ is often referred as the *anchor map*. The *rank* of π at $p \in M$ is then defined to be the rank of $\pi_p^\sharp \colon T_p^*M \to T_pM$, which coincides with the rank of the matrix $\pi^{ij}(p)$.

Definition 2.1. [33] Let (M, π) be a Poisson manifold.

(1) A vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}^1(M)$ is said to be a *Poisson vector field*, if $\mathcal{L}_X \pi = 0$.
(2) The vector field $X_f = \pi^\sharp(df) = [\pi, f]$ is called the *Hamiltonian vector field* of the Hamiltonian function $f \in C^\infty(M)$.
(3) A diffeomorphism $\phi \in \text{Diff}(M)$ is called *Poisson diffeomorphism* if $\phi_* \pi = \pi$.

2.1. **Poisson Cohomology**

In general, Poisson vector fields are not locally Hamiltonian, and the Lie bracket of two Poisson vector fields is a priori not Hamiltonian. This phenomenon is measured by the first Poisson cohomology group $H^1_\pi(M)$, and we will describe this in more detail. Another feature of Poisson geometry is that different functions can have the same Hamiltonian vector field, which contrasts the symplectic case. The following definition is motivated by this situation.

Definition 2.2. Let (M,π) be a Poisson manifold. A function $f\in C^\infty(M)$ is called a *Casimir*, if the Hamiltonian vector field satisfies $\pi^\sharp(\mathrm{d}f)=0$.

The set of all Casimir functions is identified with the zeroth Poisson cohomology space $H^0_\pi(M)$. If $f\in H^0_\pi(M)$ is a Casimir function, then for any $g\in C^\infty(M)$ we have $\{f,g\}=0$.

Denote by $\mathfrak{X}^k(M)$ the space of k-multivector fields on (M,π). The operator

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{d}_\pi\colon \mathfrak{X}^\bullet &\to \mathfrak{X}^{\bullet+1}\\ X &\mapsto \mathrm{d}_\pi(X)=[\pi,X]_{\mathrm{SN}}\end{aligned}$$

is a degree $+1$ superderivation of the $\wedge$-product satisfying $\mathrm{d}_\pi^2=0$.

Definition 2.3. Let (M,π) be a Poisson manifold and $\mathfrak{X}(M)=\oplus_k\mathfrak{X}^k(M)$. The pair $(\mathfrak{X}(M),\mathrm{d}_\pi)$ is called the *Lichnerowicz-Poisson cochain complex*, and

$$H^k_\pi(M):=\frac{\ker\left(\mathrm{d}^k_\pi\colon \mathfrak{X}^k(M)\to\mathfrak{X}^{k+1}(M)\right)}{\mathrm{Im}\left(\mathrm{d}^{k-1}_\pi\colon \mathfrak{X}^{k-1}(M)\to\mathfrak{X}^{k}(M)\right)}$$

with $k\in\mathbb{N}_0$ are called the *Poisson cohomology spaces* of (M,π).

For the first four cohomology spaces we have the following interpretation:

$$\begin{aligned}H^0_\pi(M)&=\{\text{Casimir functions}\}\\ H^1_\pi(M)&=\frac{\{\text{Poisson vector fields}\}}{\{\text{Hamiltonian vector fields}\}}\\ H^2_\pi(M)&=\frac{\{\text{infinitesimal deformations of }\pi\ \}}{\{\text{trivial deformations of }\pi\}}\\ H^3_\pi(M)&=\{\text{obstructions to deformations of Poisson structures}\}.\end{aligned}$$

On any Poisson manifold, there are two canonically defined cohomology classes: the fundamental class and the modular class.

Definition 2.4. The class $[\pi]\in H^2_\pi(M)$ is called the *fundamental (cohomology) class* of (M,π). If $[\pi]=0$, the Poisson structure is said to be *exact*.

The exactness of π is equivalent to the existence of a *Liouville vector field*, that is, a $V\in\mathfrak{X}^1(M)$ such that $\mathrm{d}_\pi(V)=\mathcal{L}_V\pi$. If M is symplectic, we have $[\omega]^\sharp=[\pi_\omega]$. In this case, π_ω is exact when ω is an exact 2-form.

Consider an oriented Poisson manifold with positive oriented volume form Ω. The mapping

$$\begin{aligned}Y^\Omega\colon C^\infty(M)&\to C^\infty(M)\\ f&\mapsto Y^\Omega f\end{aligned}$$

defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_{X_f}\Omega = (Y^\Omega f)\Omega$$

is a derivation, thus a vector field $Y^\Omega \in \mathfrak{X}^1(M)$, and in fact, a Poisson vector field. Y^Ω is known as the *modular vector field* with respect to Ω. Even though Y^Ω depends on the choice of Ω, different representations of Ω with the same orientation yield to modular vector fields which differ by a Hamiltonian vector field. Hence, the cohomology class $\left[Y^\Omega\right]$ is independent of the choice of volume form and depends only on the orientation.

Definition 2.5. The cohomology class $\left[Y^\Omega\right] \in H^1_\pi(M)$ of the modular vector field Y^Ω on an oriented Poisson manifold is called the *modular class* of (M,π). If $\left[Y^\Omega\right] = 0$, then we say that the Poisson manifold is *unimodular*.

Remark 2.6.

(1) The modular class vanishes precisely when there is a positive volume form Ω that is invariant under all Hamiltonian vector fields, that is $\mathcal{L}_{X_f}\Omega = 0$, $\forall f \in C^\infty(M)$.

(2) The anchor map $\pi^\sharp\colon T^*M \to TM$ induces a homomorphism of graded Lie algebras $\hat{\pi}^\sharp\colon H^\bullet_{\text{dR}}(M) \to H^\bullet_\pi(M)$. In general, $\pi^\sharp$ is neither injective nor surjective, but for a symplectic Poisson structure it is an isomorphism. In fact, if (M,ω) is symplectic, the Poisson cohomology of (M,π_ω) is canonically isomorphic to the de Rham cohomology of M via $\hat{\pi}^\sharp_\omega$,

$$H^\bullet_{\text{dR}}(M) \simeq H^\bullet_{\pi_\omega}(M).$$

(3) Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a compact Lie algebra and W the Lie-Poisson structure on $\mathfrak{g}^*$. Results of Lu [22], Ginzburg and Weinstein [8] show that

$$H^k_\pi(\mathfrak{g}^*, W) = H^k_{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \text{Cas}(\mathfrak{g}^*, W)$$

where $H^k_{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ is the Lie algebra cohomology of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\text{Cas}(\mathfrak{g}^*, W)$ denotes the space of Casimirs of $(\mathfrak{g}^*, W)$.

2.2. **Near-symplectic forms**

Since we are interested in the connection of Poisson and near-symplectic geometry, we briefly recall some facts about near-symplectic structures. Here we relax the non-degeneracy condition of the 2-form. We refer the reader to [3, 25, 30, 31, 32] and the references within for a detailed exposition on these structures.

Let M be a smooth, oriented manifold of dimension $2n$. Consider a 2-form $\omega \in \Omega^2(M)$ with the property of being *near-positive* everywhere, that is $\omega^n \geq 0$. For $p \in M$, let $K_p = \{v \in T_pM \mid \omega_p(v,\cdot) = 0\}$ be the kernel of ω at a point. If ω is symplectic, K_p is trivial. The collection of fibrewise kernels constitutes the kernel $K := \ker(\omega) \subset TM$ of the 2-form. Thus, there is a map $\nabla\omega_p\colon K_p \to \Lambda^2 T^*_pM$ called the intrinsic gradient. Composing this map with the dual of the inclusion $\Lambda^2 T^*_pM \to \Lambda^2 K^*$ gives us a linear map $D_K\colon K \to \Lambda^2 K^*$.

If K is 4-dimensional and ω is near-positive, then the image of D_K is at most 3 [32]. Here we present a completely equivalent proof of this statement that was shared to the authors by Tim Perutz. This leads to a cleaner proof of the following lemma, which displays that the singular locus of the 2-form is a submanifold of

codimension-3 in M. This Lemma was already proven in [32] using Taylor approximations. Here we give another proof.

Lemma 2.7. *If ω is near-positive and $\dim K_p = 4$ then* $\mathrm{Rank}(D_K) \leq 3$.

Proof. The wedge product determines a quadratic form $q\colon \Lambda^2 K_p^* \to \Lambda^4 K_p^*$, $q(\alpha) = \alpha\wedge\alpha$. This is a non-degenerate form of rank 6 and signature 0. Assume that p is the origin in the $2n$-dimensional oriented vector space T_pM. Choose $v \in K \subset T_pM$. Define $f(t) := \omega(tv)^n \in \Lambda^{2n}T_p^*M$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. We have that $f(0) = 0$ and

$$f'(0) = n \cdot \omega^{n-1}(0) \wedge (\nabla_0\omega)(v) = 0.$$

From the near-positive condition, it follows that $f \geq 0$. So, by the second derivative test, we must have $f''(0) \geq 0$. In terms of forms, this second derivative is equal to

$$f''(0) = n(n-1) \cdot \omega^{n-2}(0) \wedge (\nabla_0\omega)(v) \wedge (\nabla_0\omega)(v).$$

Let $\mu = \omega(0) \in \Lambda^2(T_pM/K)$. Using the standard isomorphism $\det(T_pM/K) \otimes \det K \simeq \det T_pM$, for a $v \in K$ we can write

$$f''(0) = \mu^{n-2} \wedge q(D_K(v))$$

Since T_pM and T_pM/K are oriented, $f''(0) \geq 0$ with respect to the induced orientation of K. The image of $D_K(v)$ is a positive semi-definite subspace of Λ^2K^*, thus it as at most of dimension 3. □

Definition 2.8. A *near-symplectic form* on an oriented manifold M^{2n} is a closed, near-positive 2-form ω such that at every point $p \in M$ either

(i) $K_p = 0$, i.e. symplectic, or
(ii) $\dim(K_p) = 4$ and $\mathrm{Rank}(D_K) = 3$.

Its *singular locus*, $Z_\omega = \{p \in M \mid \omega^{n-1} = 0\}$, is the subspace of M consisting of the points where (ii) holds.

This definition is standard in the 4-dimensional case, where the intrinsic formulation is due to Donaldson. Near-symplectic forms have been studied in relation to smooth 4-manifold theory and broken Lefschetz fibrations by different authors [3, 25, 5, 19]. These structures have also been considered in the context of harmonic self-dual forms. An equivalent formulation says that ω is closed and self-dual with respect to some conformal structure and vanishes transversely as a section of the bundle of self-dual forms Λ_+ [14, 30, 31]. The following lemma was shown in [32], but here we give a cleaner proof due to Perutz.

Lemma 2.9. *The singular locus Z_ω of a near-symplectic form is cut out cleanly as a codimension 3 submanifold of M with tangent bundle* $\ker(\nabla\omega^{n-1})$.

Proof. By definition, $Z_\omega = \{p \in M \mid \omega_p^{n-1} = 0\}$ is the zero set of ω^{n-1}. Thus for a $z \in Z_\omega$ there is an intrinsic gradient $\nabla_z(\omega^{n-1})$. We want to show that $\mathrm{Rank}(\nabla_z(\omega^{n-1})) = 3$.

Assume that z is the origin in a vector space V of which K is a 4-dimensional subspace. Let U be a complement to K. Then $\nabla_0(\omega^{n-1})(v)$ lies in the subspace $(\Lambda^{2n-4}U^* \otimes \Lambda^2K^*) \subset \Lambda^{2n-2}V^*$. If $v \in K$, we have

$$\nabla_0(\omega^{n-1})(v) = (n-1)\omega(0)^{n-2} \wedge D_{K_0}.$$

By taking $v \in K$, we see that $\nabla_z(\omega^{n-1})$ has rank ≥ 3, and that its kernel intersects K in a line L.

View U, near the origin, as a linear symplectic submanifold of V. We may choose a local frame $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{k})$ for TV consisting of a symplectic frame $\mathbf{u}$ for TU and a frame $\mathbf{k}$ for K. For any section s of $\Lambda^2 TV^* \to V$ we can define its covariant derivative $(\nabla s)(u)$ as the directional derivative of u viewed as a map to $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ using the frame. In particular, one has $(\nabla_0 \omega)(u) = 0$ for all $u \in TU \oplus L$. However, we also have that $\nabla_0(\omega^{n-1}) = (n-1)\omega(0)^{n-2} \wedge \nabla_0 \omega$, so $\nabla_0(\omega^{n-1})$ has kernel of dimension at least $2n-3$, and so it has $\mathrm{Rank}(\nabla_0(\omega^{n-1})) \leq 3$.

Thus Z_ω is cut out cleanly by ω^{n-1}, meaning that $\nabla(\omega^{n-1})$ has constant rank 3 along Z_ω. Hence, by the constant rank theorem, Z_ω is a submanifold of codimension 3 in M with tangent bundle $\ker(\omega^{n-1})$. □

Examples of near-symplectic manifolds arise from broken Lefschetz fibrations given a symplectic base space, or also using a pullback construction. Another prototypical example appears from a product manifold. Since this example will be used later, we briefly recall it. For more details on this example see [32].

Example 2.10. Let $N(\phi) = (Q \times [0,1]) / \sim$ denote a symplectic mapping torus, where $(Q, \bar{\omega})$ is a symplectic manifold, $\phi \colon Q \to Q$ a symplectomorphism, and $(x, 0) \sim (\phi(x), 1)$. Consider a closed, connected, orientable, smooth 3-manifold Y, and let $\alpha \in \Omega^1(Y)$ be a closed 1-form with indefinite Morse singular points. Indefinite means no maximum nor minimum. By a theorem of Calabi, there is a metric such that α is harmonic. Set $M = N \times Y$ and define the 2-form $\omega \in \Omega^2(M)$ by

$$\omega = \beta \wedge \alpha + \bar{\omega} + (*_Y \alpha)$$

where $*_Y$ denotes the Hodge-$*$ operator. This 2-form is near-symplectic on M and its singular locus is $Z_\omega = N \times \mathrm{Crit}(\alpha)$.

Remark 2.11. Notice that near-symplectic forms are symplectic on $M \setminus Z_\omega$. On Z_ω we have $\mathrm{Rank}(\omega_p) = 2n-4$ for $p \in Z_\omega$, hence $\omega_p^{n-1} = 0$, and but $\omega_p^{n-2} \neq 0$. Consequently, on Z_ω the 2-form defines a codimension-1 symplectic subspace $\mathrm{Symp}_Z \subset TZ_\omega$ by $\omega_Z = i^*\omega$ [1], and there is a line bundle $\varepsilon := \ker(\omega_Z)$. Thus

$$TZ_\omega \simeq \mathrm{Symp}_Z \oplus \varepsilon. \tag{1}$$

A near-symplectic form has the property of splitting the normal bundle N_Z of its singular locus $Z_\omega \subset M$ into two subbundles, a rank-1 bundle L^1_- and a rank-2 bundle L^2_+ [25, 32]. In this section we briefly recall this feature. Let $K := \varepsilon \oplus N_Z$ be the kernel of ω, which is defined by the line $\varepsilon = \ker(\omega_Z)$ and the normal bundle of Z_ω. Fix a metric g on K such that $\omega|_K$ is self-dual. Identify the intrinsic normal bundle N_Z with the complement $(TZ_\omega)^\perp$ using the metric g. From the transversality of ω, the image of the intrinsic gradient $D_K := \nabla\omega|_K$ is 3-dimensional. We have actually an identification with the bundle of self dual forms by $\mathrm{Im}(D_k) = \Lambda^2_+ K^*$.

$$N_Z \to \Lambda^2_+ K^*$$

[1] Notation: we drop the subscript of Z_ω in ω_Z (and π_Z) when Z_ω is itself a subscript.

Consider the interior derivative ι with respect to the unit vector field $X = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0}$ defined along the line $\varepsilon \subset TZ_\omega$. This defines a bundle isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda^2_+ K^* &\to N^*_Z \\ \beta &\mapsto \iota_X \beta \end{aligned}$$

Its inverse $N^*_Z \to \Lambda^2_+ K^*$ is given by $\nu \mapsto \zeta \wedge \nu + *(\zeta \wedge \nu)$, where ζ is a non-vanishing 1-form on ϵ. Using the metric g we can define an isomorphism $N^*_Z \to N_Z$. The endomorphism

$$F \colon N_Z \to N_Z$$

defined by the composition

$$N_Z \xrightarrow{D_K} \Lambda^2_+ K^* \xrightarrow{\iota_X} N^*_Z \xrightarrow{g} N_Z$$

is a self-adjoint, trace-free automorphism. The matrix A representing this map is symmetric and trace-free. Consequently, at each point $p \in Z$, A has three real eigenvalues, and following the sign convention in the literature, two of them are positive and one is negative. Thus, we obtain a splitting of the normal bundle in 2 eigensubbundles defined by the corresponding negative and positive eigenspaces

$$N_Z \simeq L^1_- \oplus L^2_+.$$

Here L^1_- is a rank 1 bundle and L^2_+ is a rank 2 bundle orthogonally complementary to L^1_-. After a choice of basis the linear map F can be represented by a trace-free symmetric matrix $A = A_+ \oplus A_-$, where A_+ is a 2×2 positive-definite matrix, and $A_- < 0$.

This construction is independent on the choice of the metric g. There can be many conformal classes $[g]$ for which ω is self-dual, yet they are all the same along Z_ω because the covariant derivative $\nabla\omega$ identifies the normal bundle N_Z with the bundle $\Lambda^2_+ K^*$ of self dual forms at each point in Z_ω. Therefore, a near-symplectic form ω determines a canonical embedding of the intrinsic normal bundle $N_{Z/M}$ as a subbundle of $TM|_Z$ complementary to TZ_ω [25, 31]. A recollection of these features can be summarized in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.12. [25, 31, 32] *Let (M, ω) be a near-symplectic manifold with singular locus Z_ω. The normal bundle N_Z of Z_ω has a canonical embedding as $TZ^\perp_\omega \subset TX|_Z$ determined by ω, and it splits into a line bundle L^1_- and a rank 2 bundle L^2_+, i.e $N_Z \simeq L^1_- \oplus L^2_+$.*

3. Induced singular Poisson structures

In this section we show that a near-symplectic manifold of any dimension $2n \geq 4$ induce two almost regular Poisson structures. The next Proposition constructs the first Poisson structure of Theorem 1.1.

3.1. Poisson structures in near-symplectic Manifolds

Proposition 3.1. *Let (M, ω) be a closed near-symplectic 2n-manifold. Denote by Z_ω the singular locus of the 2-form and by $N_Z \simeq L^1_- \oplus L^2_+$ the splitting of its normal bundle. There is a Poisson structure π on M whose top wedge power π^n vanishes on L^1.*

Proof. By Definition 2.8 and Remark 2.11 we have that $TZ_\omega \simeq \mathrm{Symp}_Z \oplus \varepsilon$. In particular, there is a well defined unit vector field $X = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0}$ on ε. The kernel $K := \varepsilon \oplus N_Z \subset TM$ of ω splits as $K = \varepsilon \oplus L^1_- \oplus L^2_+$ due to the splitting of N_Z. Let $\nu \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ be the Euler vector field on N_Z, in particular, $\nu|_Z = 0$. The splitting of N_Z makes possible to consider a splitting of ν into 2 components, each pointing in the direction of the respective subbundle, as $\nu = \nu_- \oplus \nu_+$.

To construct the bivector field on N_Z, fix a metric g on K such that $\omega|_K$ is self-dual. Using the orientation given by the volume form ω^n, we can define an isomorphism of the Hodge duality on the exterior algebra of the cotangent bundle to the one of the tangent bundle to obtain a transformation of bivector fields, $*_{g_K} \colon \Lambda^2 TK \to \Lambda^2 TK$.

Define the following bivector field on N_Z

$$\pi = X \wedge \nu_+ + *_{g_K}(X \wedge \nu_+) + \pi_Z \tag{2}$$

where π_Z denotes the bivector coming from the dualization of the symplectic form on Z_ω by $\pi_Z := (\omega_S^\flat)^{-1}(\omega_Z)$. Here $S = \mathrm{Symp}_Z$ and $(\omega_S^\flat)^{-1}$ is the inverse of the musical isomorphism $\omega_S^\flat \colon TS \to T^*S$. Since ν_+ points only in the direction of L^2_+, π^n vanishes on L^1_-. By the same reasons that make the splitting of N_Z independent of the choice of metric, so is the construction of π independent of g.

In local coordinates, with $(p_1, q_1, \ldots, q_{n-2}, p_{n-2}) \in \mathrm{Symp}_Z, (x_0) \in \varepsilon, (x_2) \in L^1$, and $(x_1, x_3) \in L^2$, we have the following expression

$$\begin{aligned} \pi = & x_1 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} \right) + x_3 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \right) \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

A calculation shows that this bivector field satisfies $[\pi, \pi]_{\mathrm{SN}} = 0$.

To extend the Poisson structure to the whole manifold M, consider the tubular neighbourhoods U_δ, U_ε of Z_ω with $U_\varepsilon \subset U_\delta$. Recall that ω is symplectic outside its singular locus. Let $\pi_M \in \mathfrak{X}^2(M)$ be equal to $\pi_S := (\omega^\flat)^{-1}(\omega)$ on $M \setminus U_\varepsilon$, where $(\omega^\flat)^{-1}$ is the inverse of $\omega^\flat \colon TM \to T^*M$. Set also π_M to be equal to π on U_δ. Since $[\pi_S, \pi]_{\mathrm{SN}} = 0$, the sum $\pi_M = \pi_S + \pi$ is Poisson on the intersection. □

If $\dim(M) = 4$, we have the advantage that the bivector field π (2) is actually a semi-global model on the tubular neighbourhood of Z_ω. On the normal bundle of $Z_\omega = S^1$ in a closed near-symplectic 4–manifold there are two homotopy classes of splittings of $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \to S^1$, one is orientable and the other is non-orientable. Honda [14] provides two models for near-symplectic forms. A first one is for the oriented case on the product $S^1 \times B^3$. The non-oriented model is given by the quotient of $S^1 \times B^3$ by an involution reversing the orientation on both summands of the splitting. This involution can be described by the action of

$$\begin{aligned} \iota \colon S^1 \times B^3 &\to S^1 \times B^3 \\ (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) &\mapsto (x_0 + \pi, -x_1, x_2, -x_3). \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Lemma 3.2. *Let X be a closed oriented near-symplectic 4-manifold. The bivector field*

$$\begin{aligned}\pi =& Y \wedge \nu_+ + *(Y \wedge \nu_+)\\ =& x_1\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_0}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}\right)+x_3\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_0}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\right)\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

is a Poisson bivector on the tubular neighbourhood of Z_ω.

Proof. The fact that π is Poisson follows from Proposition 3.1. We just need to check that if the normal bundle is non-orientable, the local model (5) still provides a Poisson structure. From the action of ι we obtain

$$\iota^*(x_1) = -x_1, \qquad \iota^*(x_3) = -x_3$$

and

$$\iota^*\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_0}\right) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_0}, \quad \iota^*\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\right) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \quad \iota^*\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \quad \iota^*\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}\right) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}.$$

Thus, $\iota^*\pi = \pi$ and the involution ι is a Poisson map for π. □

3.2. **Induced log-symplectic structure**

Symplectic manifolds constitute a non-trivial class of examples where π has top rank everywhere. A relaxation of the condition on the full rank of π has been explored for *log-symplectic manifolds*. In this section we show that there is also a log-symplectic structure in a near-symplectic manifold.

Definition 3.3. [10, 12] A *log-symplectic manifold* M, also known as *b-symplectic*, is a smooth even-dimensional manifold M equipped with a Poisson bivector π whose Pfaffian π^n vanishes on a codimension 1-submanifold D_π. This is a Poisson hypersurface foliated by symplectic leaves where $\pi^{n-1} \neq 0$.

In dimension 2, Radko gave a classification of topologically stable Poisson structures that vanish along curves inside an orientable surface [28]. Guillemin, Miranda, and Pires have examined generalizations of the 2-dimensional case by looking at the condition of being b-symplectic [9, 10, 12, 13]. It is known that a b-Poisson bivector is a simple kind of Poisson structure that is not symplectic. If π^n has an empty intersection with the zero section inside $\Lambda^{2n}TM$, then $\pi^{-1} = \omega$ is symplectic.

Proposition 3.4. *Let (M, ω) be a closed near-symplectic $2n$-manifold with singular locus Z_ω and normal bundle $N_Z \simeq L^1_- \oplus L^2_+$. There is a log-symplectic structure on the total space of the line bundle L^1_-.*

Proof. Recall from the proof of Proposition 3.1, that $\nu_- \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ denotes the component of the normal vector field pointing in the direction of L^1_-. The total space of the bundle L^1_- over Z_ω can be endowed with a log-symplectic manifold structure defined by the bivector $\pi_L \in \Gamma(\Lambda^2 TL^1_-)$, with

$$\pi_L = (\omega_S^\flat)^{-1}(\omega_Z) + X \wedge \nu_{L_-} = \pi_Z + X \wedge \nu_{L_-} \tag{6}$$

where $(\omega_S^\flat)^{-1} \colon T^*S \to TS$ is induced by the restriction of the near-symplectic form on $S = \mathrm{Symp}_Z$ inside Z_ω. Denote by k the dimension of the total space of L^1_-. Notice that we have

- $[\pi_L, \pi_L] = 0$,
- $\pi_L^k = \pi_Z^{k-1} \wedge X \wedge \nu_{L_-} \neq 0 \quad$ outside Z_ω,
- $\pi_L^k = 0$ on Z_ω, since $\nu_{L_-} = 0$ on Z_ω,
- $\pi_L^{k-1}|_Z = \pi_Z^{k-1} + \underbrace{\pi_L^{k-2} \wedge X \wedge \nu_{L_-}}_{=0} = \pi_Z^{k-1} \neq 0$.

Locally, we can regard $N_Z \simeq \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}^2$ with coordinates $x_1 \in \mathbb{R}, (x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Using Darboux's theorem we can write $\omega_Z = \sum dp \wedge dq$. Then the local description of the Poisson bivector (6) is

$$\pi_L = \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} + x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}.$$

The bivector (6) defines a log-Poissson structure on L_-^1 with degeneracy on Z_ω. □

3.3. **Almost regular Poisson structures**

In recent work, Androulidakis and Zambon [1] define almost regular Poisson structures, a general class of Poisson structures that encompass both regular and log-symplectic manifolds. Now we characterize the induced Poisson structures as almost regular.

Let $\pi^\sharp \colon T^*M \to TM$ be the anchor map and $\Omega_c^1(M)$ the space of compactly supported 1-forms. Consider the $C^\infty(M)$–module of vector fields

$$\mathcal{F} = \pi^\sharp(\Omega_c^1(M)) \tag{7}$$

This is the C^∞–submodule of $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ generated by the compactly supported Hamiltonian vector fields induced by the Poisson structure π. Let also

$$\mathcal{I}_p = \{f \in C^\infty(M) : \ f(p) = 0\}$$

and set

$$\mathcal{D}_p = \mathcal{F}/\mathcal{I}_p\mathcal{F} \tag{8}$$

where $\mathcal{I}_p\mathcal{F}$ denotes the Hamiltonian vector fields vanishing on p. The symplectic foliation can be recovered from $\mathcal{F}$ by integrating the vector fields of $\mathcal{F}$. We will denote by $\mathcal{F}_p$ the vector space of Hamiltonian vector fields evaluated at $p \in M$.

Definition 3.5. [1, Definition A] A Poisson manifold (M, π) is *almost regular* if there is an isomorphism of $C^\infty(M)$–modules $\mathcal{F} \simeq \Gamma_c A$ for some vector bundle A over M.

Almost regularity can also be described in terms of the bivector field.

Proposition 3.6. [1, Proposition 2.11] *Let (M, π) be a Poisson manifold, and denote by k the minimal integer such that $\wedge^k\pi \neq 0$ and $\wedge^{k+1}\pi \equiv 0$. Denote by $M_{\text{reg}} = \{p \in M \mid (\wedge^k\pi)_p \neq 0\}$ the open subset of M on which π has maximal rank. (M, π) is* almost regular *iff*

(i) *M_{reg} is dense in M, and*
(ii) *there is a rank 1 vector bundle $E \subset \Lambda^{2k}TM$ such that $\wedge^k\pi \in \Gamma(E)$.*

We use these properties to show that the Poisson structures constructed in Propositions 3.1 and 3.4 are almost regular.

Proposition 3.7. *The Poisson structure of Proposition 3.1 is almost regular.*

Proof. We check directly the two conditions of Proposition 3.6.
(i) Recall that away from the normal bundle of Z_ω the Poisson structure is symplectic, and so it is automatically almost regular. It thus suffices to check the claim near the singularity. To show that $M_{\text{reg}} = \{p \in M \mid (\wedge^k \pi)_p \neq 0\}$ is an open dense subset in M we look at the dimension of $\mathcal{D}_p = \mathcal{F}/\mathcal{I}_p\mathcal{F}$. If $\dim(\mathcal{D}_p)$ is constant for all $p \in M$, then M_{reg} is dense. Around a point p, π can be expressed as in (3). Taking $\pi^\sharp(\mathrm{d}x_i) = \langle \pi, \mathrm{d}x_i \rangle$, one directly computes that

$$\pi^\sharp(\mathrm{d}x_0) = -x_1\partial_1 - x_3\partial_3, \tag{9}$$

$$\pi^\sharp(\mathrm{d}x_1) = x_1\partial_0 - x_3\partial_2, \tag{10}$$

$$\pi^\sharp(\mathrm{d}x_2) = x_3\partial_1 - x_1\partial_3, \tag{11}$$

$$\pi^\sharp(\mathrm{d}x_3) = x_3\partial_0 + x_1\partial_2 \tag{12}$$

$$\pi^\sharp(\mathrm{d}q_i) = \partial_{p_i} \tag{13}$$

$$\pi^\sharp(\mathrm{d}p_i) = -\partial_{q_i} \tag{14}$$

The singular locus of π on a local chart around a point $p \in Z_\omega$ is given by $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} : x_1 = x_3 = 0\}$. This holds for every point $p \in M$, thus the set where π has maximal rank is $M_{\text{reg}} = M \setminus L^1_-$. We can also see that the ideal $\mathcal{I}_p\mathcal{F}$ lies in L^1_p and that $\mathcal{D}_p$ is given by the Hamiltonian vector fields, which are non-vanishing outside the degeneracy locus of π. At a point $p \in M_{\text{reg}}$ the rank of π remains constant and so is the dimension of $\mathcal{D}_p$. Notice that the Hamiltonian vector fields (13) and (14) do not vary the dimension of $\mathcal{D}_p$ since they remain constant. Thus, for every point $p \in M_{\text{reg}}$, there are no vanishing Hamiltonian vector fields and $\mathcal{I}_p\mathcal{F}$ is empty, hence $\mathcal{D}_p = \mathcal{F}_p$. This shows that M_{reg} is dense in M.

(ii) To show the second property of Proposition 3.6, recall that $\pi^n \neq 0$ on $N_Z \setminus L^1_-$ and $\pi^{n+1} \equiv 0$. The top wedge power $\wedge^n \pi$ defines a section of the line bundle $\Lambda^{2n}TN_Z \subset \Lambda^{2n}TM$. □

Almost regular Poisson structures consider also the concept of log-f symplectic manifolds.

Definition 3.8. Let (M, π) be a Poisson manifold with $\pi \not\equiv 0$. Denote by k the unique integer such that $\wedge^k \pi$ is not identically zero and $\wedge^{k+1}\pi \equiv 0$. The pair (M, π) is called *log-f symplectic* if there is a rank 1 vector subbundle $E \subset \Lambda^{2k}TM$ such that $\wedge^k \pi \in \Gamma(E)$ is transverse to the zero section.

Notice that the Poisson structure of Proposition 3.1 is neither log-symplectic nor log-f symplectic [1]. Next we show that the log-symplectic structure of Proposition 3.4 is also log-f symplectic.

Lemma 3.9. *Let (M, ω) be a near-symplectic manifold and $L^1_- \subset N_Z$ the line subbundle in the normal bundle of the singular locus. The Poisson manifold (L^1_-, π_L), with π_L as in Proposition 3.4, is log-f symplectic.*

Proof. The Poisson structure on the total space of the line bundle L^1_- is given by $\pi_L = \pi_Z + X \wedge \nu_-$. On the regular region of the total space of L^1_- we have that

$\pi_L^{n-1} \neq 0$, and by dimensional reasons $\pi_L^n = 0$ everywhere. The exterior algebra $E := \Lambda^{2n-2}TL^1_-$, is a line subbundle of $\Lambda^{2n-2}TM$ on which π_L^{2n-2} is transverse to the zero section. Thus, the minimal integer is $k = n-1$. □

4. Poisson Cohomology of near-positive Poisson structures on 4-manifolds.

In this section we compute the Poisson cohomology of the Poisson structure described in Proposition 3.1 on a smooth 4–manifold. The local model of such Poisson bivector on the normal bundle of its degeneracy locus is given by

$$\pi = x_1(\partial_0 \wedge \partial_1 + \partial_2 \wedge \partial_3) + x_3(\partial_0 \wedge \partial_3 + \partial_1 \wedge \partial_2). \tag{15}$$

Notice that this linear model does not correspond to the Lie algebra of a compact group as the Killing form is indefinite. This means that we cannot compute the Poisson cohomolgy $H^\bullet(N_Z, \pi)$ by Remark 2.6 (3). Since $\operatorname{rank}(\pi) = 4$ there are no nonzero Casimirs and our results show that the Poisson cohomology spaces vanish except for constant coefficients. Furthermore, we get that the modular field $2\partial_0$ has a nontrivial cohomology class. For simplicity, we will make use of the reduced notation $\partial_{x_i} := \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$. To begin, we introduce the notion of near-positive Poisson bivector.

4.1. Near-positive Poisson bivectors

Let X be a smooth, oriented 4–manifold. We consider Poisson bivectors π on X that are *near-positive*, that is $\pi^2 \geq 0$, and such that π has maximal rank outside a submanifold Z_π of X where it vanishes transversally. In contrast to log-symplectic manifolds, where the transversality condition is in $\Lambda^4 TX$, here the condition is in $\Lambda^2 TX$.

Recall that on a 4-dimensional vector space the wedge-product

$$\wedge\colon \Lambda^2\mathbb{R}^4 \otimes \Lambda^2\mathbb{R} \to \Lambda^4\mathbb{R}^4 \simeq \mathbb{R}$$

defines a quadratic form of signature (3, 3) on the exterior algebra $\Lambda^2\mathbb{R}^4$. Thus, we have a decomposition $\Lambda^2\mathbb{R}^4 = \Lambda^2_+\mathbb{R}^4 \oplus \Lambda^2_-\mathbb{R}^4$ into two rank-3 bundles. On X, the positive subspace $\Lambda^2_+ T_pX$ consists of bivectors χ, such that $\chi^2(\mathrm{vol}) \geq 0$. The elements in $\Lambda^2_- T_pX$ are those such that $\chi^2(\mathrm{vol}) \leq 0$. For example, using coordinates (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) on $\mathbb{R}^4$, a basis of the spaces described above is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Lambda^2_+\mathbb{R}: && \Lambda^2_-\mathbb{R}^4: \\
&\chi_1 = \partial_{x_0} \wedge \partial_{x_1} + \partial_{x_2} \wedge \partial_{x_3} && \chi_4 = \partial_{x_0} \wedge \partial_{x_1} - \partial_{x_2} \wedge \partial_{x_3} \\
&\chi_2 = \partial_{x_0} \wedge \partial_{x_2} - \partial_{x_1} \wedge \partial_{x_3} && \chi_5 = \partial_{x_0} \wedge \partial_{x_2} + \partial_{x_1} \wedge \partial_{x_3} \\
&\chi_3 = \partial_{x_0} \wedge \partial_{x_3} + \partial_{x_1} \wedge \partial_{x_2} && \chi_6 = \partial_{x_0} \wedge \partial_{x_3} - \partial_{x_1} \wedge \partial_{x_2}
\end{aligned}$$

Regard π as a section of $\Lambda^2 TX$. Let $U \subset X$ be a neighbourhood of a point $p \in X$ where $\pi_p = 0$. Consider the covariant derivative of π, namely $\nabla\pi\colon \mathfrak{X}(X) \to \Gamma(\Lambda^2 TX)$, $v \mapsto \nabla_v\pi$. Since p is a zero of a smooth section of a bundle we have a derivative $(\nabla_v\pi)(p) \in \Lambda^2 T_pX$ in the direction of $v \in T_pX$. The restriction of $\nabla\pi$ at p leads to a map $\nabla\pi_p\colon T_pX \to \Lambda^2 T_pX$, which we call *intrinsic gradient* following the convention in near-symplectic geometry [25, 31]. Expanding π with

a Taylor series on U about $p = 0$, satisfying $\pi(0) = 0$, we have that $\pi(t \cdot v) = \pi(0) + t \cdot \nabla_v \omega(0) + O(t^2) = t \cdot \nabla_v \omega(0) + O(t^2)$. Thus if $\pi_p^2 \geq 0$, then $(\nabla_v \pi_p)^2 \geq 0$, $\forall v \in T_p U$.

Observe that the dimension of the image of $\nabla \pi_p$ can be at most 3 due to the non-negative condition on π^2, thus it is a subset of the positive subbundle $\Lambda^2_+ \mathbb{R}^4$. Hence, at a point $p \in X$ where $\pi_p^2 = \pi_p = 0$, the rank of $\nabla \pi_p$, seen as a linear map $\mathbb{R}^4 \to \mathbb{R}^6$, can be at most 3. Therefore, where π_p vanishes, we can set a transversality condition on $\Lambda^2 TX$ by fixing Rank$(\nabla \pi_p)$. As the next Lemma shows, this rank condition implies that the singular locus Z_π is a submanifold of X. Next, we will study the behaviour of π along distinct singularities determined by the dimension of the image of $\nabla \pi_p$.

Definition 4.1. A bivector $\pi \in \Gamma(\Lambda^2 TX)$ on an oriented 4-manifold X is said to be *near-positive* if

- $\pi^2 \geq 0$,
- at each point $p \in X$ we have that either $\pi^2 > 0$ or $\pi_p = 0$, and
- at the points where π vanishes, the intrinsic gradient $\nabla \pi_p \colon T_p X \to \Lambda^2 T_p X$ has Rank$(\nabla \pi_p) \leq 3$.

If additionally, $[\pi, \pi]_{\mathrm{SN}} = 0$, we say that π is a *near-positive Poisson bivector*.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\pi \in \Gamma(\Lambda^2 TX)$ be a near-positive bivector with $Z_\pi = \{p \in X \mid \pi_p = 0\}$ being its singular locus. Consider the intrinsic gradient $\nabla \pi_p \colon T_p X \to \Lambda^2 T_p X$. Let $r \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. If* Rank$(\nabla \pi_p) = r$*, then Z_π is a $(4 - r)$-submanifold of X.*

Proof. We proceed with a similar argument as in [25]. Let $p \in Z_\pi$ and consider a 4-ball B around p. Set $k = \dim(\Lambda^2 T_p X) - \dim(\mathrm{Im}(\nabla \pi_p))$, i.e. $k = 3, 4,$ or 5 depending on the rank of $\nabla \pi_p$, and consider a k-bundle E^k complementary to the image of $\nabla \pi_p$. We have the following diagram after restricting π to B and projecting to the quotient

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \Lambda^2 TB \\ & \searrow^{\bar{\pi}} & \downarrow p \\ & & \Lambda^2 TB / E^k \end{array}$$

Due to the rank condition on the intrinsic gradient, the composition $\bar{\pi}_p$ is a submersion and in particular 0 is a regular value of $\bar{\pi}$. Assume that $\nabla \pi_p(v) \in E^k$ for a point $\pi(p) \in \mathrm{Im}(\pi) \cap E^k$. Since E^k has been chosen to be the space complementary to $\mathrm{Im}(\nabla \pi_p)$, the condition on the rank implies that $\mathrm{Im}(\pi) \cap E^k = 0$ transversally in $\Lambda^2 TB$. Thus $\bar{\pi}^{-1}(0)$ is a a submanifold of X of dimension $4 - (6 - k)$ as claimed. □

Example 4.3. Consider the phase space $\left(\mathbb{R}^4, (q_1, p_1, q_2, p_2)\right)$. The bivector

$$\pi = p_1 \left(\partial_{q_1} \wedge \partial_{p_1} + \partial_{q_2} \wedge \partial_{p_2}\right) + p_2 \left(\partial_{q_1} \wedge \partial_{p_2} + \partial_{p_1} \wedge \partial_{q_2}\right)$$

is near-positive Poisson with singular locus $Z_\pi = \{p_1 = p_2 = 0\} \simeq \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbf{0}$. We have that $\pi^2 = (p_1^2 + p_2^2)(\mathrm{vol}) \geq 0$. By looking at the matrix of partial derivatives J_π coming from the linearization of $\nabla \pi$ we can see that Rank$(\nabla \pi) = 2$ at the singular points.

Theorem 1.1 shows the existence of two singular Poisson structures in a near-symplectic manifold M of dimension $2n$. If $\dim(M) = 4$, the Poisson structure constructed in Proposition 3.1 is an example of a near-positive Poisson structure with $\mathrm{Rank}(\nabla\pi_p) = 2$ and degeneracy locus $Z_\pi = L^1_-$. As a consequence of Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 3.1 we obtain the following statement.

Corollary 4.4. *Let (X, ω) be a near-symplectic 4-manifold. There is a near-positive Poisson structure on X having the line subbundle L^1_- as its degeneracy locus.*

Remark 4.5. In this work we will examine only near-positive Poisson bivectors with $\mathrm{Rank}(\nabla\pi_p) = 2$.

Example 4.6. In dimension 4, the near-symplectic manifold of Example 2.10 becomes the 4-manifold $X = S^1 \times Y^3$ with near-symplectic form $\omega = \mathrm{d}t\wedge\alpha + *(\mathrm{d}t\wedge\alpha)$. Here $*$ is the Hodge operator with respect to the product metric, t is the S^1-coordinate, and $\alpha \in \Omega^1(Y)$ is a Morse 1-form with critical points of index 1 or 2. The normal bundle of $Z_\omega = S^1 \times \mathrm{Crit}_\alpha$ splits into a 1-dimensional L_- and a 2-dimensional bundle L_+. Let ∂_t be a non-vanishing vector field along S^1 and $\nu = \nu_- + \nu_+ \in \mathfrak{X}(X)$ the normal vector field to Z_ω. The bivector field $\pi \in \Gamma(\Lambda^2 TX)$ defined by

$$\pi = \partial_t \wedge \nu_+ + *(\partial_t \wedge \nu_+)$$

is a near-positive Poisson bivector with singular locus L_-.

4.2. **Description of the coboundary operator.**

We start by writing down the equations of the Poisson cohomology operator. Recall that the Hamiltonian vector fields are given by equations (9)–(12). Now we set up notation. Let $V_i = \mathbb{R}_i[x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3]$ be the space of homogeneous polynomials of degree i, set $r_i = \dim(V_i)$ and let $\mathfrak{X}^k_i$ be the space of k-vector fields whose coefficients are elements of V_i. Set $\mathrm{d} = \sum_{k=0}^4 \mathrm{d}^k = [\pi, \cdot] : \mathfrak{X}^\bullet \to \mathfrak{X}^{\bullet+1}$ to be the coboundary operator. Since π is linear it can be further decomposed as $\mathrm{d}^k = \sum_i \mathrm{d}^k_i$ with $\mathrm{d}^k_i : \mathfrak{X}^k_i \to \mathfrak{X}^{k+1}_i$. H^k_i will then denote the k–th Poisson cohomology group with homogeneous polynomial coefficients of degree i. One may rewrite the Poisson bivector as

$$\pi = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=0}^{3} \pi^\sharp(\mathrm{d}x_i) \wedge \partial_i.$$

For $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4)$, it is

$$\mathrm{d}^0(f) = -\sum_{i=0}^{3} \pi^\sharp(\mathrm{d}x_i)(f)\partial_i. \tag{16}$$

Let $Y = \sum_{i=0}^3 f_i\partial_i \in \mathfrak{X}^1$, and k be the index completing the triplet $\{1, 2, 3\}$ once $i < j$ are chosen. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{d}^1(Y) = &\sum_{i=1}^{3}[\pi^\sharp(\mathrm{d}x_i)(f_0) - \pi^\sharp(\mathrm{d}x_0)(f_i) - \frac{1-(-1)^i}{2}f_i]\partial_{0i} \\ &+ \sum_{i<j=1}^{3}[\pi^\sharp(\mathrm{d}x_j)(f_i) - \pi^\sharp(\mathrm{d}x_i)(f_j) - \frac{1-(-1)^{i+j}}{2}f_k]\partial_{ij}\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

We use the notation $\partial_{ij} := \partial_i \wedge \partial_j$ for $i < j$, and write a bivector field as $Y = \sum_{i=0<j=1}^{3} f_{ij}\partial_{ij} \in \mathfrak{X}^2$. For $\partial_{ijk} := \partial_i \wedge \partial_j \wedge \partial_k$, $i < j < k$, the coboundary operator on $\mathfrak{X}^2$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{d}^2(Y) =& \partial_{012}[-\pi^\sharp(\mathrm{d}x_0)(f_{12}) + \pi^\sharp(\mathrm{d}x_1)(f_{02}) - \pi^\sharp(\mathrm{d}x_2)(f_{01}) - f_{12} + f_{03}] \\ &+\partial_{013}[-\pi^\sharp(\mathrm{d}x_0)(f_{13}) + \pi^\sharp(\mathrm{d}x_1)(f_{03}) - \pi^\sharp(\mathrm{d}x_3)(f_{01}) - 2f_{13}] \\ &+\partial_{023}[-\pi^\sharp(\mathrm{d}x_0)(f_{23}) + \pi^\sharp(\mathrm{d}x_2)(f_{03}) - \pi^\sharp(\mathrm{d}x_3)(f_{02}) + f_{01} - f_{23}] \\ &+\partial_{123}[-\pi^\sharp(\mathrm{d}x_1)(f_{23}) + \pi^\sharp(\mathrm{d}x_2)(f_{13}) - \pi^\sharp(\mathrm{d}x_3)(f_{12})] \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Finally, let l be the index completing the quadruple $\{0,1,2,3\}$ for chosen $i < j < k$ and let $Y = \sum_{i=0<j=1<k=2}^{3} f_{ijk}\partial_{ijk} \in \mathfrak{X}^3$. Then

$$\mathrm{d}^3(Y) = \left[\sum_{i=0<j=1<k=2}^{3} (-1)^{l+1}\pi^\sharp(\mathrm{d}x_l)(f_{ijk}) - 2f_{123}\right]\partial_{0123} \tag{19}$$

4.3. **Smooth cohomology.**

With the notation for polyvector fields and their coefficient functions as in equations (16), (17), (18), and (19), the operators $\mathrm{d}_i^\bullet$ are identified as the maps in the following sequence representing the coefficients in the complex $\mathfrak{X}_i^\bullet$:

$$0 \longrightarrow V_i \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}_i^0} V_i^{\otimes 4} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}_i^1} V_i^{\otimes 6} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}_i^2} V_i^{\otimes 4} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}_i^3} V_i \longrightarrow 0 \tag{20}$$

and more precisely

$$f \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}_i^0} (f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}_i^1} (f_{01}, f_{02}, f_{03}, f_{12}, f_{13}, f_{23}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}_i^2} (f_{012}, f_{013}, f_{023}, f_{123}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}_i^3} f_{0123} \tag{21}$$

Each $\Psi \in \mathfrak{X}^\bullet_{\text{formal}}$ will then be a cocycle if and only if each of its homogeneous components is itself a cocycle. Respectively, Ψ will be a coboundary if and only if each of its homogeneous components is itself a coboundary.

Proposition 4.7. *Let (X, ω) be a near-symplectic 4–manifold and (N_Z, π) be the normal bundle of the singular locus Z_ω equipped with the near-positive bivector π of (15). Consider Z_ω with only one component. The formal Poisson cohomology $H^\bullet_{\text{formal}}(N_Z, \pi)$ is*

$$\begin{aligned} H^0_{\text{formal}}(N_Z, \pi) &= \mathbb{R} = \langle 1 \rangle \\ H^1_{\text{formal}}(N_Z, \pi) &= \mathbb{R}^2 = \langle \partial_0 \, , \, \partial_2 \rangle \\ H^2_{\text{formal}}(N_Z, \pi) &= \mathbb{R} = \langle \partial_0 \wedge \partial_2 \rangle \\ H^3_{\text{formal}}(N_Z, \pi) &= 0 \\ H^4_{\text{formal}}(N_Z, \pi) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $H^1_{\text{formal}}(N_Z, \pi)$ is generated by the modular class $[\partial_0]$ and the class of non-vanishing vector field ∂_2 in the line bundle $L^1 \subset N_Z$.

Proof. We will show that all cohomology groups vanish when the coefficient functions in (21) are homogeneous of fixed degree $i > 0$, in which case (20) becomes a short exact sequence. Computing finally the cohomology in degree $i = 0$ will yield the claim on formal cohomology; as the operators $\mathrm{d}^\bullet$ are linear, one can replace V by $V_f = \mathbb{R}[[x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3]]$, the algebra of all formal power series equipped with (15). We restrict (20) to some fixed $i > 0$ and drop this subscript for the operators in the rest of the proof.

Since $\mathrm{Rank}(\pi) = 4$, there are no non-constant Casimirs, so $\ker(\mathrm{d}^0) = H^0 = 0$ and $\mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{d}^0) \simeq V_i,\ \dim(\mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{d}^0)) = r_i$. The image of d^0 is spanned by vector fields of the form $W = A + B + C + B$ where

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= \mathrm{d}^0(x_0^{k_0})x_1^{k_1}x_2^{k_2}x_3^{k_3} = k_0 x_0^{k_0-1}x_1^{k_1}x_2^{k_2}x_3^{k_3}\pi^\sharp(\mathrm{d}x_0)\\
B &= x_0^{k_0}\mathrm{d}^0(x_1^{k_1})x_2^{k_2}x_3^{k_3} = k_1 x_0^{k_0}x_1^{k_1-1}x_2^{k_2}x_3^{k_3}\pi^\sharp(\mathrm{d}x_1)\\
C &= x_0^{k_0}x_1^{k_1}\mathrm{d}^0(x_2^{k_2})x_3^{k_3} = k_2 x_0^{k_0}x_1^{k_1}x_2^{k_2-1}x_3^{k_3}\pi^\sharp(\mathrm{d}x_2)\\
D &= x_0^{k_0}x_1^{k_1}x_2^{k_2}\mathrm{d}^0(x_3^{k_3}) = k_3 x_0^{k_0}x_1^{k_1}x_2^{k_2}x_3^{k_3-1}\pi^\sharp(\mathrm{d}x_3)
\end{aligned}$$

with $\sum_{s=0}^3 k_s = i$. To show that these vectors are a basis of $\ker \mathrm{d}^1$, and so $H^1 = 0$, use an induction argument as follows: With a direct check, this is true for $i = 1$, and we assume that it is true for some $i = n - 1$. Suppose there is an nonzero $Y = \sum_{p=0}^3 f_p\partial_p \in \mathfrak{X}^1_n$ such that $Y \in \ker \mathrm{d}^1/\mathrm{Im}\mathrm{d}^0$. We have the identity

$$0 = \mathrm{d}^1(\sum_{p=0}^3 f_p\partial_p) = \sum_{p=0}^3 \mathrm{d}^0(f_p)\wedge\partial_p + f_p\mathrm{d}^1(\partial_p).$$

Together with the derivation property of d^0 and the fact that $\pi^\sharp$ is a linear isomorphism, this implies the existence of a nonzero $\tilde{Y} \in \mathfrak{X}^1_{n-1}$ such that $\tilde{Y} \in \ker \mathrm{d}^1$ without it being written as a linear combination of the vector fields A, B, C, D (for $\sum_{p=0}^3 k_p = n - 1$), contradicting the middle induction step.

A similar induction argument shows that $\dim(\ker \mathrm{d}^2) = 3r_i$ proving simultaneously that $H^2 = H^3 = 0$. Finally, it is obvious from (19), that $\dim(\mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{d}^3)) = r_i$ which gives the claim for H^4.

Thus the formal cohomology is determined by the cohomology of $\mathfrak{X}^\bullet_0$, the complex with constant coefficients. For H^1_0, it suffices to set all f_p in (17) to be constant, yielding $\mathrm{d}^1(\partial_1) = -\partial_{01} - \partial_{23},\ \mathrm{d}^1(\partial_3) = -\partial_{03} - \partial_{12}$. Doing the same in (18) gives the claim for H^2_0. □

We show now that the smooth Poisson cohomology of this near positive Poisson structure is determined by the formal Poisson cohomology using an idea of Ginzburg in [7].

Proposition 4.8. *The smooth cohomology of the near positive bivector (15) is given in Proposition 4.7.*

Proof. A function $f \in C^\infty(N_Z)$ is said to be *flat* if all its derivatives and the function itself vanish along the singular locus $\{x_1 = x_3 = 0\}$. Let $\mathfrak{X}^\bullet_{\text{flat}}(N_Z)$, $\mathfrak{X}^\bullet_{\text{formal}}(N_Z)$ and $\mathfrak{X}^\bullet_{\text{smooth}}(N_Z)$ be the multivector fields with flat, formal and smooth coefficients respectively. By a theorem of E. Borel, the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{X}^\bullet_{\text{flat}}(N_Z,\pi) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{X}^\bullet_{\text{smooth}}(N_Z,\pi) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{X}^\bullet_{\text{formal}}(N_Z,\pi) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact. Thus to prove our claim it suffices to show that the flat cohomology $H^\bullet_{\text{flat}}(N_Z,\pi)$ vanishes. Extend $\pi^\sharp$ to the chain map $\wedge^\bullet\pi^\sharp : \big(\Omega^\bullet(N_Z), \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{dR}}\big) \longrightarrow \big(\mathfrak{X}^\bullet(N_Z), \mathrm{d}\big)$ and then consider the restriction to forms with flat coefficients $\wedge^\bullet\pi^\sharp_{\text{flat}} : \Omega^\bullet_{\text{flat}}(N_Z) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{X}^\bullet_{\text{flat}}(N_Z)$.

Away from the singular locus, $\pi^\sharp_{\text{flat}}$ is an isomorphism. Indeed,

$$\pi^\sharp_{\text{flat}}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{3} f_i \mathrm{d}x_i\right) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} x_1 f_1 + x_3 f_3 = 0, \\ -x_1 f_0 + x_3 f_2 = 0, \\ -x_3 f_1 + x_1 f_3 = 0, \\ -x_3 f_0 - x_1 f_2 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Using Taylor series in 4 dimensions, the first and third equations above, imply that outside the singular locus, $f_1 = f_3 = 0$. Similarly the second and fourth equations imply that $f_0 = f_2 = 0$ and so $\pi^\sharp_{\text{flat}}$ is injective. On the other hand, if $\sum_{i=0}^3 g_i\partial_i$ is in the image of $\pi^\sharp_{\text{flat}}$ then there is always a flat preimage $\sum_{i=0}^3 f_i \mathrm{d}x_i$ with

$$f_0 = \frac{-x_1 g_1 - x_3 g_3}{x_1^2 + x_3^2},\ f_1 = \frac{x_1 g_0 - x_3 g_2}{x_1^2 + x_3^2},\ f_2 = \frac{x_3 g_1 - x_1 g_3}{x_1^2 + x_3^2},\ f_3 = \frac{x_3 g_0 + x_1 g_2}{x_1^2 + x_3^2}.$$

Finally, the cohomology class of $Y \in \mathfrak{X}^\bullet_{\text{smooth}}(N_Z,\pi)$ written as a convergent Taylor series in a neighbourhood of the singular locus is 0, if and only if each $i-$homogeneous term of the Taylor series is itself a coboundary. □

4.4. **Global Cohomology**

We now provide the last step to prove Theorem 1.2. Our goal is to describe how to pass from the smooth semi-global cohomology on the normal bundle N_Z to the global cohomology on all X. We follow a similar argument as Radko [28] and Roytenberg [29]. For simplicity, we start by assuming that Z_ω has only one component, i.e one singular circle.

Proof of Theorem 1.2 Consider an open cover $\mathcal{D}$ of (X,ω) and denote by U_1 the compactification of the normal bundle as a disk bundle over Z_ω. Let $V_1 = \mathcal{D} \setminus Z_\omega$. Denote by $\hat{\pi}^\sharp\colon H^\bullet_{\mathrm{dR}} \to H^\bullet_\pi$ the homomorphism on cohomology induced by the anchor map. On V_1, the 2-form ω is symplectic, thus it induces a symplectic Poisson bivector. Assume that there is only one component in Z_ω and denote its tubular neighbourhood by U_1. In this situation the Poisson cohomologies of V_1 and $U_1 \cap V_1$ are isomorphic to their corresponding de Rham cohomologies,

$$H^\bullet_{\mathrm{dR}}(V_1) \simeq H^\bullet_\pi(V_1) \quad , \quad H^\bullet_{\mathrm{dR}}(U_1 \cap V_1) \simeq H^\bullet_\pi(U_1 \cap V_1). \tag{22}$$

Observe that $U_1 \cap V_1 = (S^1 \times B^3) \setminus S^1$ is diffeomorphic to $I \times S^1 \times S^2$ and homotopy equivalent to $S^1 \times S^2$. For a fixed $\{t\} \in S^1 = [0, 2\pi]/\sim$, the product $\{t\} \times B^3 \setminus \{\mathrm{pt}\}$ retracts to S^2. Since all self-diffeomorphisms of $B^3 \setminus \{\mathrm{pt}\}$ are isotopic to the identity,

gluing back $\{0\}\times B^3\setminus\{\text{pt}\}$ to $\{2\pi\}\times B^3\setminus\{\text{pt}\}$ leads to $S^1\times B^3\setminus\{\text{pt}\}$ which retracts to $S^1\times S^2$. Thus,

$$H^\bullet_\pi(U_1\cap V_1)\overset{\hat{\pi}^\sharp}{\simeq} H^\bullet_{\mathrm{dR}}(S^1\times S^2).$$

Associated to the cover given by U_1 and V_1 there is a short exact Mayer-Vietoris sequence at the level of multivector fields and differential forms

$$0\to\mathfrak{X}^\bullet(X)\to\mathfrak{X}^\bullet(U_1)\oplus\mathfrak{X}^\bullet(V_1)\to\mathfrak{X}^\bullet(U\cap V_1)\to 0$$

and

$$0\to\Omega^\bullet(X)\to\Omega^\bullet(U_1)\oplus\Omega^\bullet(V_1)\to\Omega^\bullet(U\cap V_1)\to 0.$$

Each of them leads to a long exact sequence at the level of Poisson and de Rham cohomology respectively

$$\begin{aligned}(23)\qquad 0\to H^0_\pi(X)&\xrightarrow{\alpha^0}H^0_\pi(U_1)\oplus H^0_\pi(V_1)\xrightarrow{\beta^0}H^0_\pi(U_1\cap V_1)\xrightarrow{\rho^0}\\ &\to H^1_\pi(X)\xrightarrow{\alpha^1}H^1_\pi(U_1)\oplus H^1_\pi(V_1)\xrightarrow{\beta^1}H^1_\pi(U_1\cap V_1)\xrightarrow{\rho^1}\\ &\to H^2_\pi(X)\xrightarrow{\alpha^2}H^2_\pi(U_1)\oplus H^2_\pi(V_1)\xrightarrow{\beta^2}H^2_\pi(U_1\cap V_1)\xrightarrow{\rho^2}\\ &\to H^3_\pi(X)\xrightarrow{\alpha^3}H^3_\pi(U_1)\oplus H^3_\pi(V_1)\xrightarrow{\beta^3}H^3_\pi(U_1\cap V_1)\xrightarrow{\rho^3}\\ &\to H^4_\pi(X)\xrightarrow{\alpha^4}H^4_\pi(U_1)\oplus H^4_\pi(V_1)\xrightarrow{\beta^4}H^4_\pi(U_1\cap V_1)\to 0.\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(24)\qquad 0\to H^0_{\mathrm{dR}}(X)&\xrightarrow{a^0}H^0_{\mathrm{dR}}(U_1)\oplus H^0_{\mathrm{dR}}(V_1)\xrightarrow{b^0}H^0_{\mathrm{dR}}(U_1\cap V_1)\xrightarrow{r^0}\\ &\to H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(X)\xrightarrow{a^1}H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(U_1)\oplus H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(V_1)\xrightarrow{b^1}H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(U_1\cap V_1)\xrightarrow{r^1}\\ &\to H^2_{\mathrm{dR}}(X)\xrightarrow{a^2}H^2_{\mathrm{dR}}(U_1)\oplus H^2_{\mathrm{dR}}(V_1)\xrightarrow{b^2}H^2_{\mathrm{dR}}(U_1\cap V_1)\xrightarrow{r^2}\\ &\to H^3_{\mathrm{dR}}(X)\xrightarrow{a^3}H^3_{\mathrm{dR}}(U_1)\oplus H^3_{\mathrm{dR}}(V_1)\xrightarrow{b^3}H^3_{\mathrm{dR}}(U_1\cap V_1)\xrightarrow{r^3}\\ &\to H^4_{\mathrm{dR}}(X)\xrightarrow{a^4}H^4_{\mathrm{dR}}(U_1)\oplus H^4_{\mathrm{dR}}(V_1)\xrightarrow{b^4}H^4_{\mathrm{dR}}(U_1\cap V_1)\to 0.\end{aligned}$$

We start by describing the de Rham cohomology of V_1, since $H^\bullet_\pi(V_1)\simeq H^\bullet_{\mathrm{dR}}(V_1)$ and this cohomology will be useful in the subsequent calculations. Assume that Z_ω consists of one embedded circle in X. Since X is connected, then $X\setminus S^1$ remains connected and $H^0_{\mathrm{dR}}(V_1)\simeq\mathbb{R}$. Removing an embedded circle from X amounts to attaching a 1-handle h to X. This implies that $H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(V_1)$ is given by the direct sum $H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(X)\oplus H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(h)$. Then, $H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(V_1)=H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(X)\oplus\langle\mathrm{d}\theta\rangle$, where $\mathrm{d}\theta$ comes from the fundamental class of S^1 of the handle attached. For the top cohomology group, observe that since X is oriented, the fundamental cycle is no longer in V_1, thus $H^4_{\mathrm{dR}}(V_1)=0$. It follows from a simple calculation on (24) that $H^2_{\mathrm{dR}}(V_1)=H^2_{\mathrm{dR}}(X)\oplus\langle\omega_{S^2}\rangle$, where ω^2_S is the area form of S^2 in $U_1\cap V_1$ and $H^3_{\mathrm{dR}}(V_1)\simeq H^3_{\mathrm{dR}}(X)$.

$\mathbf{H^0_\pi(X)}$

Observe that the first row of (23) is exact. On the symplectic region containing V_1, a Casimir function is constant, thus by continuity it must be constant everywhere. Hence $H^0_\pi(X)=\mathbb{R}=\text{span}\langle 1\rangle$.

$\mathbf{H^1_\pi(X)}$

Case: $n = 1$ component

Assume that Z_ω consists of only one circle, and denote this component by ζ. By exactness we have

$$H^1_\pi(X) = \mathrm{Im}\left(\rho^0\right) \oplus \ker(\beta^1) \tag{25}$$

and similarly $H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(X) = \mathrm{Im}\left(r^0\right) \oplus \ker(b^1)$. Under the assumption that Z_ω has only one component, then V_1 and $U_1 \cap V_1$ are symplectic, hence

$$H^1_\pi(V_1) = \hat{\pi}^\sharp\left(H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(V_1)\right) \quad , \quad H^1_\pi(U \cap V_1) = \hat{\pi}^\sharp\left(H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(U \cap V_1)\right).$$

Since the first row of (23) is short exact we have that $\mathrm{Im}(\rho^0) = 0$. To determine the kernel of

$$\beta^1 \colon H^1_\pi(U) \oplus H^1_\pi(V) \to H^1_\pi(U \cap V_1)\,,\ ([\chi]_U, [\nu]_{V_1}) \mapsto [\chi - \nu]_{U \cap V_1},$$

recall that

$$H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(V) = H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(X) \oplus \langle \mathrm{d}\theta \rangle, \quad \text{and} \quad H_{\mathrm{dR}}(U \cap V) = H_{\mathrm{dR}}(S^1 \times S^2) = \mathrm{span}\langle \mathrm{d}\theta \rangle.$$

Proposition 4.7 described the Poisson cohomology of the normal bundle of Z_ω, whose first cohomology group is generated by the class of the modular vector field ∂_0 and a non-vanishing vector field ∂_2 in the direction of $L^1 \subset N_Z$. To simplify the notation, we relabel the variables $\theta := x_0$, and $\lambda := x_2$ so that the Poisson vector fields are denoted by

$$\partial_\theta := \partial_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_\lambda := \partial_2,$$

and

$$H^1_\pi(U_1) \simeq \mathrm{span}\langle \partial_\theta, \partial_\lambda \rangle \simeq \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Then we can write

$$\beta^1 \colon \mathrm{span}\langle \partial_\theta, \partial_\lambda \rangle \oplus \hat{\pi}^\sharp\left(H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(X) \oplus \mathrm{span}\langle \mathrm{d}\theta \rangle\right) \to \hat{\pi}^\sharp\left(\mathrm{span}\langle \mathrm{d}\theta \rangle\right)$$

The image of the anchor map $\hat{\pi}^\sharp$ on de Rham classes determined by equations (9)–(12), implies that

$$\ker(\beta^1) = \mathrm{span}\langle \partial_\theta, \partial_\lambda \rangle \oplus \hat{\pi}^\sharp\left(H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(X)\right) \tag{26}$$

and thus

$$H^1_\pi(X) \simeq \mathrm{span}\langle \partial_\theta, \partial_\lambda \rangle \oplus H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(X). \tag{27}$$

Case: n components

Suppose that Z_ω contains n components $\{\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_n\}$. We extend our previous argument inductively as in [28]. Choose an open cover $V_0 = X$, and set $V_i = V_{i-1} \setminus \zeta_i$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. The sequence (23) can now be read on each row as

$$\cdots \longrightarrow H^k_\pi(V_{i-1}) \xrightarrow{\alpha^k_{i-1}} H^k_\pi(U_i) \oplus H^k_\pi(V_i) \xrightarrow{\beta^k_{i-1}} H^k_\pi(U_i \cap V_i) \xrightarrow{\rho^k_{i-1}} \cdots$$

and we can use a similar notation for the long exact sequence of the de Rham complex. The regions V_n and $U_i \cap V_i$ are symplectic for each i. Thus $H^\bullet_{\mathrm{dR}}(V_n) \simeq H^\bullet_\pi(V_n)$ and $H^\bullet_{\mathrm{dR}}(U_i \cap V_i) \simeq H^\bullet_\pi(U_i \cap V_i)$. We also have that $H^1_\pi(U_i) = \mathrm{span}\langle \partial_{\theta_i}, \partial_{\lambda_i} \rangle$, and $H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(V_i) \simeq H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(V_{i-1}) \oplus \mathrm{span}\langle \mathrm{d}\theta_i \rangle$. Recall that we have $H^1_\pi(V_i) = \mathrm{Im}\left(\rho^0_i\right) \oplus$

$\ker(\beta_i^1)$ with $\operatorname{Im}\left(\rho_i^0\right) = 0$ as in the case of one component. Then from $i = n-1$ to $i = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\ker(\beta_{i-1}^1) &= \operatorname{span}\langle \partial_{\theta_i}, \partial_{\lambda_i}\rangle \oplus H_\pi^1(V_{i-1}) \\ &= \operatorname{span}\langle \partial_{\theta_i}, \partial_{\lambda_i}\rangle \oplus \operatorname{span}\langle \partial_{\theta_{i+1}}, \partial_{\lambda_{i+1}}\rangle \oplus \hat{\pi}^\sharp\left(H_{\mathrm{dR}}^1(V_{i-1})\right)\end{aligned}$$

Since $H_\pi^1(V_{i-1}) = \operatorname{Im}(\rho_{i-1}^0) \oplus \ker(\beta_{i-1}^1)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}H_\pi^1(V_n) &\simeq H_{\mathrm{dR}}^1(V_n) \\ H_\pi^1(V_{n-1}) &\simeq \operatorname{span}\langle \partial_{\theta_n}, \partial_{\lambda_n}\rangle \oplus \hat{\pi}^\sharp\left(H_{\mathrm{dR}}^1(V_{n-1})\right) \\ H_\pi^1(V_{n-2}) &\simeq \operatorname{span}\langle \partial_{\theta_{n-1}}, \partial_{\lambda_{n-1}}\rangle \oplus H_\pi^1(V_{n-1}) \\ &\simeq \operatorname{span}\langle \partial_{\theta_{n-1}}, \partial_{\lambda_{n-1}}\rangle \oplus \operatorname{span}\langle \partial_{\theta_n}, \partial_{\lambda_n}\rangle \oplus \hat{\pi}^\sharp\left(H_{\mathrm{dR}}^1(V_{n-2})\right) \\ &\vdots \\ H_\pi^1(X) &\simeq \bigoplus_{k=1}^{n} \operatorname{span}\langle \partial_{\theta_k}, \partial_{\lambda_k}\rangle \oplus H_{\mathrm{dR}}^1(X). \qquad (28)\end{aligned}$$

$\mathbf{H_\pi^3(X)}$

Case: $n = 1$ component

By exactness we write $H_\pi^3(X) = \operatorname{Im}(\rho^2) \oplus \ker(\beta^3)$. Since $H_\pi^2(U_1 \cap V_1) \simeq \hat{\pi}^\sharp\left(H_{\mathrm{dR}}^2(U_1 \cap V_1)\right)$, we get that $\operatorname{Im}(\rho^2) \simeq \hat{\pi}^\sharp(\operatorname{Im}(r^2))$. To describe the kernel of β^3, we can see from Proposition 4.7 that $H_\pi^3(U_1) \simeq 0$. On the de Rham complex we also find that $H_{\mathrm{dR}}^3(U_1) \simeq 0$, since $U_1 \approx S^1 \times B^3$. Together with $H_\pi^3(V_1) \simeq \hat{\pi}^\sharp(H_{\mathrm{dR}}^3(V_1))$ and $H_\pi^3(U \cap V_1) \simeq \hat{\pi}^\sharp(H_{\mathrm{dR}}^3(U \cap V_1))$ this leads to $\ker(\beta^3) \simeq \hat{\pi}^\sharp(\ker(b^3))$. Consequently,

$$H_\pi^3(X) \simeq \hat{\pi}^\sharp(\operatorname{Im}(r^2)) \oplus \hat{\pi}^\sharp(\ker(b^3)) \overset{\hat{\pi}^\sharp}{\simeq} H_{\mathrm{dR}}^3(X). \qquad (29)$$

Case: n components

This argument extends also to the general case when there are n circles $\{\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_n\} \subset Z_\omega$; for every circle ζ_i we have that the Poisson and de Rham cohomology groups of V_i, $U_i \cap V_i$, and U_i are isomorphic, the latter following from Proposition 4.7 since $H_\pi^3(U_i) \simeq 0 \simeq H_{\mathrm{dR}}^3(U_i)$.

$\mathbf{H_\pi^4(X)}$

We can apply the same line of reasoning as for the previous cohomology group, since around every component $\zeta_i \in Z_\omega$, we have $H_{\mathrm{dR}}^4(U_i) \simeq 0$, and by Proposition 4.7 we obtain $H_\pi^4(U_i) \simeq 0$. Similarly, this holds for $H_\pi^3(V) \simeq \hat{\pi}^\sharp(H_{\mathrm{dR}}^3(V))$ and $H_\pi^3(U_1 \cap V) \simeq \hat{\pi}^\sharp(H_{\mathrm{dR}}^3(U_1 \cap V))$, thus

$$H_\pi^4(X) \overset{\hat{\pi}^\sharp}{\simeq} H_{\mathrm{dR}}^4(X). \qquad (30)$$

$\mathbf{H_\pi^2(X)}$

Case: $n = 1$ component

Express the cohomology as

$$H_\pi^2(X) = \operatorname{Im}\left(\rho^1\right) \oplus \ker(\beta^2).$$

From (26) we know by exactness that $\ker(\rho^1) \simeq \mathbb{R}$ and hence $\operatorname{Im}(\rho^1) = 0$. On the symplectic regions one has $H_\pi^2(V_1) \overset{\hat{\pi}^\sharp}{\simeq} H_{\mathrm{dR}}^2(V_1) \oplus \operatorname{span}\langle \omega_{S^2}\rangle$, and $H_\pi^2(U_1 \cap V_1) \overset{\hat{\pi}^\sharp}{\simeq}$

$\operatorname{span}\langle\omega_{S^2}\rangle$. Moreover, by Proposition 4.7 it is $H^2_\pi(U_Z) = \operatorname{span}\langle\partial_\theta \wedge \partial_\lambda\rangle$. Then we can write

$$\beta^2 \colon \operatorname{span}\langle\partial_\theta \wedge \partial_\lambda\rangle \oplus \hat{\pi}^\sharp\left(H^2_{\mathrm{dR}}(X) \oplus \operatorname{span}\langle\omega_{S^2}\rangle\right) \to \hat{\pi}^\sharp\left(\operatorname{span}\langle\omega_{S^2}\rangle\right).$$

Since $H^3_\pi(X) \simeq H^3_{\mathrm{dR}}(X) \simeq H^3_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)$, we have that α^3 is injective thus by exactness $\ker(\rho^2) \simeq \mathbb{R}$, and so

$$\ker(\beta^2) = \operatorname{span}\langle\partial_\theta \wedge \partial_\lambda\rangle \oplus \hat{\pi}^\sharp\left(H^2_{\mathrm{dR}}(X)\right) \tag{31}$$

and

$$H^2_\pi(X) \simeq \operatorname{span}\langle\partial_\theta \wedge \partial_\lambda\rangle \oplus H^2_{\mathrm{dR}}(X). \tag{32}$$

Case: n components
Extend this argument for n number of components $\{\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n\}$ in Z_ω as in the case of $H^1_\pi(X)$. After choosing an open cover $V_0 = X$ and setting $V_i = V_{i-1} \setminus \zeta_i$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
H^2_\pi(V_n) &= \hat{\pi}^\sharp\left(H^2_{\mathrm{dR}}(V_n)\right)\\
H^2_\pi(V_{n-1}) &= \operatorname{span}\langle\partial_{\theta_n} \wedge \partial_{\lambda_n}\rangle \oplus \hat{\pi}^\sharp\left(H^2_{\mathrm{dR}}(V_n)\right)\\
H^2_\pi(V_{n-2}) &= \operatorname{span}\langle\partial_{\theta_{n-1}} \wedge \partial_{\lambda_{n-1}}\rangle \oplus \operatorname{span}\langle\partial_{\theta_n} \wedge \partial_{\lambda_n}\rangle \oplus \hat{\pi}^\sharp\left(H^2_{\mathrm{dR}}(V_{n-1})\right)\\
&\vdots\\
H^2_\pi(X) &\simeq \bigoplus_{k=1}^{n} \operatorname{span}\langle\partial_{\theta_k} \wedge \partial_{\lambda_k}\rangle \oplus H^2_{\mathrm{dR}}(X).
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

□

5. Poisson Cohomology on broken Lefschetz fibrations

Here we compute the Poisson cohomology of a second mutually independent structure that is associated to a broken Lefschetz fibration on a 4-manifold, and prove Theorem 1.3. Notice that this structure only depends on the fibration and not on the near-symplectic form.

5.1. Poisson structure on broken Lefschetz fibrations

Definition 5.1. On a smooth, closed 4-manifold X, a *broken Lefschetz fibration* or *bLf* is a smooth map $f \colon X \to S^2$ that is a submersion outside the singularity set. Moreover, the allowed singularities are of the following type:

(1) *Lefschetz* singularities: finitely many points
$$C = \{p_1, \dots, p_k\} \subset X,$$
which are locally modeled by complex charts
$$\mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}, \qquad (z_1, z_2) \mapsto z_1^2 + z_2^2,$$
(2) *indefinite fold* singularities, also called *broken*, contained in the smooth embedded 1-dimensional submanifold $\Gamma \subset X \setminus C$, and which are locally modelled by the real charts
$$\mathbb{R}^4 \to \mathbb{R}^2, \qquad (\theta, x_1, x_2, x_3) \mapsto (\theta, -x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2).$$

In [6] it was shown that a singular Poisson structure π of rank 2 can be associated to the fibration structure of a BLF in such a way that the fibres of f correspond to the leaves of the foliation induced by π and the singularity set of f is precisely the singular locus of the bivector. We recall the statement.

Theorem 5.2. [6] *Let X be a closed oriented smooth 4-manifold. Then on each homotopy class of maps from X to the 2-sphere there exists a complete Poisson structure of rank 2 on X whose associated Poisson bivector vanishes only on a finite collection of circles and isolated points.*

The local model of π around the singular locus Γ is given by

$$\pi_{\Gamma_k} = k(\theta, x_1, x_2, x_3)\left(x_1\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} + x_2\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} - x_3\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\right). \tag{34}$$

where k is a non-vanishing function, and around C the local model is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{C_k} =& k(x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4)\left[(x_3^2+x_4^2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + (-x_1x_4+x_2x_3)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}\right.\\ &+ (-x_2x_4-x_1x_3)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial x_4} + (x_1x_3+x_2x_4)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}\\ &\left.+ (-x_1x_4+x_2x_3)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial x_4} + (x_1^2+x_2^2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial x_4}\right].\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

where k is a again a non-vanishing function.

5.2. **Poisson cohomology around singular circles**

The object of this subsection is to obtain the Poisson cohomology of the Poisson structure π_Γ around the circles of fold singularities of a bLf and prove part of Theorem 1.3. In [6] it is shown that there is a conformal class of Poisson structures with bivector field (36) constructed on the tubular neighbourhood $U_\Gamma \simeq S^1\times\mathbb{B}^3$ of the singular circles. In this work we calculate the Poisson cohomology for the case $k(\theta, x_1, x_2, x_3) = 1$, in other words, when the function k determining the conformal class is identically 1. Thus we work with the linear model

$$\pi_\Gamma = x_1\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} + x_2\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} - x_3\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}. \tag{36}$$

On the normal bundle of a singular circle there is a splitting $\mathbb{R}^3\times S^1\to S^1$ into a rank 1-bundle and a rank 2-bundle over S^1. There are two possible splittings up to isotopy. One is orientable and the other non-orientable, where the former is given by the identity map and the latter is defined by the involution ι (4). The model of the bivector field is invariant under ι and descends to the quotient of $S^1\times B^3$ by the involution for the non-orientable tubular neighbourhood [6, Proposition 3.2].

The tubular neighbourhood $U_\Gamma\simeq(S^1\times B^3,\pi_\Gamma)$ can be regarded as the product Poisson manifold $(S^1,0)\times(B^3,\pi_{(\mathfrak{sl}_2,\mathbb{R})^*})$, where $\pi_{(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}))^*}$ is the Lie-Poisson structure on $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})^*$. Equivalently, we consider the Poisson manifold $(S^1\times B^3,\pi_{(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}))^*})$.

One can check using the Jacobian form of the Poisson structure (see [6]) that the coordinate functions

$$Q^1(\theta, x_1, x_2, x_3) = \theta, \quad \text{and} \quad Q^2(\theta, x_1, x_2, x_3) = -x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 \tag{37}$$

parametrizing the singular locus, are the generators of the algebra of Casimir functions for π_Γ, which henceforth is denoted by $\mathbb{R}[Q^1, Q^2]$. Since $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ is not compact, its Lie-Poisson cohomology $H_\pi(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})^*)$ is not equal to $H_{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})) \otimes \mathbb{R}[Q^1, Q^2]$, see Remark 2.6 (3). We now proceed to explicitly compute the cohomology groups of π_Γ.

We fix the volume form $\text{vol} = \mathrm{d}\theta \wedge \mathrm{d}x_1 \wedge \mathrm{d}x_2 \wedge \mathrm{d}x_3$. The bivector π_Γ (36) is defined on the tubular neighbourhood of circles of fold singularities, and a straightforward calculation shows that the modular vector field vanishes identically. Moreover, the extension of the Poisson structure to the regular parts is symplectic, thus the unimodularity holds everywhere and there exists a measure preserved by all Hamiltonian flows. Since we work with a unimodular structure, by computing the Poisson cohomology groups $H^d_{\pi_\Gamma}(U_\Gamma)$ we are also obtaining the Poisson homology groups $H^{\pi_\Gamma}_{4-d}(U_\Gamma)$ [17, Section 4.4.4].

As the model is linear, the fundamental class $[\pi_\Gamma]$ vanishes, and so there is a vector field Y such that $L_Y \pi_\Gamma = \pi_\Gamma$, while the flow of Y acts by rescaling π_Γ [29]. One may check that directly; if $E = \sum_i x_i \partial_i$ is the Euler vector field then $\mathrm{d}^1(E) = \pi_\Gamma$.

Remark 5.3. In this section we will often drop the subscript Γ in π_Γ. For simplicity in our calculations we ease the notation by substituting the S^1-coordinate θ of Theorem 1.3 by x_0.

We start by writing down the equations of the Poisson cohomology operator. Let $\pi^\sharp : \Omega^1(U_\Gamma) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{X}^1(U_\Gamma)$ be again the contraction with π, i.e, $\pi^\sharp(\mathrm{d}x_i) = \langle \pi, \mathrm{d}x_i \rangle$. The Hamiltonian vector fields of the coordinate functions are

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi^\sharp(\mathrm{d}x_0) &= 0,\\
\pi^\sharp(\mathrm{d}x_1) &= x_3\partial_2 - x_2\partial_3,\\
\pi^\sharp(\mathrm{d}x_2) &= -x_1\partial_3 - x_3\partial_1,\\
\pi^\sharp(\mathrm{d}x_3) &= x_1\partial_2 + x_2\partial_1.
\end{aligned}$$

5.2.1. **Description of the coboundary operator.**

We first note that one may rewrite the Poisson bivector as

$$\pi = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{3} \partial_i \wedge \pi^\sharp(\mathrm{d}x_i).$$

Following the notation for sections of $\mathfrak{X}^\bullet(N_Z)$ from Section 4.2, we get the following formulas with a direct computation. First,

$$\mathrm{d}^0(f) = \sum_{i=0}^{3} \pi^\sharp(\mathrm{d}x_i)\partial_i(f) = -\sum_{i=1}^{3} \pi^\sharp(\mathrm{d}x_i)(f)\partial_i, \quad f \in C^\infty(U_\Gamma). \tag{38}$$

Then

(39)
$$\mathrm{d}^1(Y) = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \pi^\sharp(\mathrm{d}x_i)(f_0)\partial_{0i} + \sum_{i<j=1}^{3} \left(\pi^\sharp(\mathrm{d}x_j)(f_i) - \pi^\sharp(\mathrm{d}x_i)(f_j) + (-1)^{[\frac{i+j+2}{2}]} f_k\right) \partial_{ij}$$

where $[t]$ denotes the integral part of $t \in \mathbb{R}$, for example $[3.7] = [3] = 3$ and the index k is the index completing the triplet $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$ for chosen $i < j$. Furthermore,

(40)
$$\mathrm{d}^2(Y) = \sum_{i<j=1}^{3} \left(\pi^\sharp(\mathrm{d}x_i)(f_{0j}) - \pi^\sharp(\mathrm{d}x_j)(f_{0i}) + (-1)^{[\frac{i+j}{2}]} f_{0k}\right) \partial_{0ij} + \left(\sum_{i<j=1}^{3} (-1)^i \pi^\sharp(\mathrm{d}x_i)(f_{jk})\right) \partial_{123}$$

and finally,

(41)
$$\mathrm{d}^3(Y) = \left[\sum_{i<j=1}^{3} (-1)^{k+1} \pi^\sharp(\mathrm{d}x_k)(f_{0ij})\right] \partial_{0123}.$$

5.2.2. **Formal cohomology.**

In this section we compute the formal Poisson cohomology of $\mathfrak{X}^\bullet(U_\Gamma)$ equipped with the Poisson structure (36). Let again $V = \mathbb{R}[x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3]$ be the algebra of polynomials in x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, $V_i = \mathbb{R}_i[x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3]$ be the vector space of homogeneous polynomials of degree i and $\mathfrak{X}_i^k$ be the space of k-vector fields whose coefficients are elements of V_i. Since π is linear, we can decompose each term in the sum $\mathrm{d} = \sum_{k=0}^{4} \mathrm{d}^k$ as $\mathrm{d}^k = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}_i^k$ with $\mathrm{d}_i^k : \mathfrak{X}_i^k \to \mathfrak{X}_i^{k+1}$.

Consider the operators $\mathrm{d}^\bullet$ as in (20) in terms of our notation for polyvector fields and their coefficient functions. Then define the maps

$$d_1^1 : V_i \longrightarrow V_i^{\otimes 3}, \quad d_1^2 : V_i^{\otimes 3} \longrightarrow V_i^{\otimes 3}$$

by

$$f_0 \xrightarrow{d_1^1} (f_{01}, f_{02}, f_{03}) \xrightarrow{d_1^2} (f_{012}, f_{013}, f_{023}),$$

and the maps

$$d_2^1 : V_i^{\otimes 3} \longrightarrow V_i^{\otimes 3}, \quad d_2^2 : V_i^{\otimes 3} \longrightarrow V_i$$

by

$$(f_1, f_2, f_3) \xrightarrow{d_2^1} (f_{12}, f_{13}, f_{23}) \xrightarrow{d_2^2} f_{123},$$

noting that the subscripts of the maps do not refer to the polynomial degree. One can split d^1 and d^2 as

(42)
$$\mathrm{d}^1 = d_1^1 + d_2^1$$

$$\mathrm{d}^2 = d_1^2 + d_2^2. \tag{43}$$

Observe that by equations (38)–(41) we have

$$d_2^1 = d_1^2 \tag{44}$$

and

$$d_1^1 = \mathrm{d}^0 \tag{45}$$

where for the second equation we used that $\pi^\sharp(\mathrm{d}x_0) = 0$.

Since π_Γ is linear, the arguments using linearity of the coboundary operators before the proof of Proposition 4.7, hold here as well.

Proposition 5.4. *Let (U_Γ, π_Γ) the tubular neighbourhood of indefinite fold singularities of a bLf with Poisson bivector as in (36). Let also $(\mathfrak{X}^\bullet_{\text{formal}}(X), \mathrm{d})$ be the Poisson cochain complex of multivector fields with formal coefficients. The formal Poisson cohomology $H^\bullet_{\text{formal}}(U_\Gamma, \pi_\Gamma)$ is given by the following list of free $\mathbb{R}[Q^1, Q^2]$-modules*

- $H^0_{\text{formal}}(U_\Gamma, \pi_\Gamma) = \mathbb{R}[Q^1, Q^2]$
- $H^1_{\text{formal}}(U_\Gamma, \pi_\Gamma) = \mathbb{R}[Q^1, Q^2]\partial_0$
- $H^2_{\text{formal}}(U_\Gamma, \pi_\Gamma) = 0$
- $H^3_{\text{formal}}(U_\Gamma, \pi_\Gamma) = \mathbb{R}[Q^1, Q^2]\partial_{123}$
- $H^4_{\text{formal}}(U_\Gamma, \pi_\Gamma) = \mathbb{R}[Q^1, Q^2]\partial_{0123}$

Proof. Recall that $\mathrm{rank}(\pi_\Gamma) = 2$ and that the algebra of Casimirs is generated by Q^1, Q^2. Let $k_i = \dim \mathbb{R}_i[Q^1, Q^2]$ be the dimension of the space of homogeneous Casimirs of degree i, and $r_i = \dim V_i$.

Since $\pi^\sharp(\mathrm{d}x_0) = 0$, it is $\mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{d}^0) \subset \ker(d_2^1)$. Using the splitting of d^1 and (45) one has that

$$H^1_{\text{formal}}(U_\Gamma, \pi_\Gamma) = \ker(\mathrm{d}^0) \oplus \left(\ker(d_2^1)/\mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{d}^0)\right).$$

Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R}[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ and $\phi = -\frac{1}{2}Q^2$. Following the notation of [27],

$$(\mathcal{A}, \{\cdot,\cdot\}_\phi) = (\mathcal{A}, \pi_\Gamma)$$

as Poisson algebras. Fixing the weight vector $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3) = (1, 1, 1)$, ϕ is weight homogeneous of weight $\bar{\omega}(\phi) = \deg(\phi) = 2$. It also has an isolated singularity, since $\mathcal{A}/\langle \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x_2}, \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x_3}\rangle = \mathbb{R}$.

From (38),(39), we get

$$\ker(d_2^1)/\mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{d}^0) = \mathbb{R}[x_0] \otimes H^1(\mathcal{A}, \phi),$$

where the second term on the right side is the first Poisson cohomology group of $(\mathcal{A}, \{\cdot,\cdot\}_\phi)$.

Let $E_\omega = \sum_{r=1}^3 \omega_r x_r$ be the weighted Euler vector field and $\bar{\omega}$ be the divergence of E_ω. Then by [27, Proposition 4.5], and since $\bar{\omega}(\phi) \neq \bar{\omega} = 3$, it is $H^1(\mathcal{A}, \phi) = 0$, hence our claim for $H^1_{\text{formal}}(U_\Gamma, \pi_\Gamma)$.

By the splitting of d^1, d^2, and (44), (45), it is

$$H^2_{\text{formal}}(U_\Gamma.\pi_\Gamma) = \ker(d_2^2)/\mathrm{Im}(d_2^1) = \mathbb{R}[x_0] \otimes H^2(\mathcal{A}, \phi).$$

Hence, by [27, Proposition 4.8] we get our claim for $H^2_{\text{formal}}(U_\Gamma, \pi_\Gamma)$.

It is easy to see checking (41) directly that $\dim(\mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{d}^3)) = r_i - k_i$. By the result for $H^2_{\text{formal}}(U_\Gamma, \pi_\Gamma)$ one has that $\dim(\mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{d}_i^2)) = 3r_i$, for all i, which gives the claim for $H^3_{\text{formal}}(U_\Gamma, \pi_\Gamma)$. Finally, $\dim H^4_i(U_\Gamma, \pi_\Gamma) = k_i$ being equal to $\mathbb{R}_i[Q^1, Q^2]\partial_{1234}$. □

Clearly, $\pi^\sharp$ is not an isomorphism here, so we cannot extend to smooth cohomology using an argument similar to Section 4.3.

5.3. **Poisson cohomology around Lefschetz singular points.**

For $k(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = 1$, the Poisson cohomology of the model (35) on the normal bundle over Lefschetz points, falls into the scope of [24]. By construction in [6, Section 3.1], the structure is Jacobian, i.e there are two Casimir functions P_1, P_2 such that the Poisson bracket of two coordinate functions is given by

$$\{x_i, x_j\} = \frac{\mathrm{d}x_i \wedge \mathrm{d}x_j \wedge \mathrm{d}P_1 \wedge \mathrm{d}P_2}{\mathrm{d}x_1 \wedge \mathrm{d}x_2 \wedge \mathrm{d}x_3 \wedge \mathrm{d}x_4}. \tag{46}$$

These Casimirs are given by the real and imaginary parts of the parametrization of the Lefschetz points in Definition 5.1, namely $P^1 = x_1^2 - x_2^2 + x_3^2 - x_4^2,\ P^2 = 2(x_1x_2 + x_3x_4)$. Fix $\overline{\omega} = (\overline{\omega}_1, \overline{\omega}_2, \overline{\omega}_3, \overline{\omega}_4)$ with $\overline{\omega}_i = 1$ as a weight vector inducing the polynomial degree so that $\overline{\omega}(P^1) = \overline{\omega}(P^2) = 2$, and set also $V = \mathbb{R}[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]$. With a direct check, one sees that P^i is not a zero divisor in $V/\langle P^j\rangle$ for $i \neq j = 1, 2$. Hence P^1, P^2 are, by definition, a regular sequence in V. Setting J to be the ideal generated by P^1, P^2 and the 2×2 minors of their Jacobian matrix , we have that $V_{\text{sing}} = V/J$ is finite dimensional and so (P^1, P^2) form a complete intersection with isolated singularity (at zero).

In [24] the author computes the Poisson homology of an example satisfying these conditions, inspired by the work in the 3-dimensional setting of [27]. Due to the discussion above, the vector calculus developed in the former applies to the Lefschetz point model, thus we have the following

Lemma 5.5. *Let (B, π_C) a 4-dimensional ball around a Lefschetz singularity of a bLf with Poisson bivector as in (35). Let also $(\mathfrak{X}^\bullet_{\text{formal}}(B), \mathrm{d})$ be the Poisson cochain complex of multivector fields with formal coefficients. The formal Poisson cohomology $H^\bullet_{\text{formal}}(B, \pi_C)$ is given by the following list of free $\mathbb{R}[P^1, P^2]$-modules*

- $H^0_{\text{formal}}(B, \pi_C) = \mathbb{R}[P^1, P^2]$
- $H^1_{\text{formal}}(B, \pi_C) = \mathbb{R}[P^1, P^2]\langle E_\omega\rangle$
- $H^2_{\text{formal}}(B, \pi_C)$ *is a free* $\mathbb{R}[P^1, P^2]-$ *module of rank 6.*
- $H^3_{\text{formal}}(B, \pi_C)$ *is a free* $\mathbb{R}[P^1, P^2]-$ *module of rank 13.*
- $H^4_{\text{formal}}(B, \pi_C)$ *is a free* $\mathbb{R}[P^1, P^2]-$ *module of rank 7.*

where $E_\omega = \sum_{i=1}^4 \omega_i x_i \partial_i$ is the weighted Euler vector field.

Proof. One can check that the modular vector field vanishes, so the isomorphism $\star : \mathfrak{X}^\bullet(B) \to \Omega^{4-\bullet}(B)$ induces an isomorphism

$$H^k(B, \pi_C) \simeq H_{4-k}(B, \pi_C).$$

Since $\overline{\omega}_i = 1,\ i = 1, \ldots, 4$ and $\overline{\omega}(P^1) = \overline{\omega}(P^2) = 2$ the homology groups have Poincaré series given in [24, Theorem 3.1]. As a result, they will have the same rank as free $\mathbb{R}[P^1, P^2]$-modules with the homology groups therein. In particular, $H^1_{\text{formal}}(B, \pi_C)$, we have that if

$$\rho = \sum_{i=1}^4 (-1)^{i-1} \overline{\omega}_i x_i \mathrm{d}x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{\mathrm{d}x_i} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}x_4,$$

then $\star E_\omega = \rho$. Since the polynomial degree $|\mathrm{Div}(E_\omega)| = \sum_{i=1}^4 \overline{\omega}_i$ is equal to $2\overline{\omega}(P^1)$ we get the claim by [24, Theorem 3.3]. □

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Proposition 5.4 computes the Poisson cohomology of the normal bundle in the case where the singular locus consists of a single circle. The formal cohomology in the case where $Z_\omega = \{S^1_1, \ldots, S^1_n\}$ is only the direct sum of cohomologies over each circle. Lemma 5.5 contains the rest of Theorem's 1.3 statement. □

References

[1] I. Androulidakis, M. Zambon, *Almost regular Poisson structures and their holonomy groupoids*, Sel. Math. New Ser. (in press), e-print arXiv:1606.09269.

[2] S. Akbulut, C. Karakurt, *Every 4-Manifold is BLF*, Jour. of Gökova Geom. Topol., Vol. 2 (2008) 83–106.

[3] D. Auroux, S. K. Donaldson, L. Katzarkov, *Singular Lefschetz pencils*, Geom. Topol., Vol. 9 (2005) 1043 –1114.

[4] P. Batakidis, N. Papalexiou, *W- algebras and Duflo Isomorphism*, J. Algebra Appl. (in press), e-print arXiv:1210.7759.

[5] R. I. Baykur, *Existence of broken Lefschetz fibrations*, Int. Math. Res. Not.(2008), Art. ID rnn 101, 15 pp.

[6] L. Gacía-Naranjo, P. Suárez-Serrato, R. Vera, *Poisson structures on smooth 4–manifolds*, Lett. Math. Phys. Vol. 105, no. 11 (2015), 1533–1550.

[7] V. Ginzburg, *Momentum mappings and Poisson cohomology*, Int. J. Math., **07**, 329 (1996). DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0129167X96000207.

[8] V.L. Ginzburg, A. Weinstein, *Lie-Poisson structure on some Poisson-Lie groups*, J. American Math. Soc., 5 (1992), 445–453.

[9] R. Goto, Rozansky-Witten invariants of log symplectic manifolds. In *Integrable systems, topology, and physics*, Contemp. Math, Vol. 309, Amer. Math. Soc. (2002), 69–84.

[10] M. Gualtieri, S. Li, *Symplectic groupoids of log symplectic manifolds*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN, Number 11 (2014), 3022–3074.

[11] V. Guillemin, E. Miranda, A. Pires, *Codimension one symplectic foliations and regular Poisson structures*, Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. 42, no. 4 (2011): 607–23.

[12] V. Guillemin, E. Miranda, A. Pires, *Symplectic and Poisson geometry on b-manifolds*, Adv. Math. 264 (2014), 864–896.

[13] V. Guillemin, E. Miranda, J. Weitsman, *Desingularizing b^m-symplectic structures*, e-print arXiv:1512.05303 (2015).

[14] K. Honda, *Local properties of self-dual harmonic 2-forms on a 4-manifold*, J. reine angew. Math. 577 (2004), 105–116.

[15] W. Hong, P. Xu, *Poisson cohomology of Del Pezzo surfaces*, J. Algebra, **336**, (2011), 378–390.

[16] M. Kontsevich, *Deformation Quantization of Poisson Manifolds*, Lett. Math. Phys **66**, (2003) 157–216.

[17] C. Laurent-Gengoux, A. Pichereau, P. Vanhaecke, *Poisson structures,* Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 347. Springer, Heidelberg, 2013.

[18] C. LeBrun, *Yamabe constants and the perturbed Seiberg-Witten equations*, Comm. Anal. Geom. 5 (1997), 535–553.

[19] Y. Lekili, *Wrinkled fibrations on near-symplectic manifolds*, Geom. Topol., 13 (2009), 277–318.

[20] A. Lichnerowicz, *Les variétés de Poisson et leurs algebres de Lie associées*, J. Differential Geom. 12 (2), (1977) 253-300.

[21] I. Losev, *Finite W-algebras*, Proc. of the ICM, Vol. III, (2010), 1281–1307.

[22] J.-H. Lu, *Multiplicative and affine Poisson structures on Lie groups*, Thesis, U.C. Berkeley, 1990.

[23] Ph. Monnier, *Formal Poisson cohomology of quadratic Poisson structures*, Letters in Mathematical Physics **59**, (2002) 253. doi:10.1023/A:1015513632414.

[24] S.R.T. Pelap, *Poisson (co)homology of polynomial Poisson algebras in dimension four: Sklyanin's case*, J. Algebra **322**, (2009) 1151–1169.

[25] T. Perutz, *Zero-sets of near-symplectic forms*, J. Symplectic Geom., Vol.4, no.3, (2007) 237–257.

[26] M. Pflaum, H. Posthuma, X. Tang, *Quantization of Whitney functions*, Trav. Math., 20, (2012), 153–165.
[27] A. Picherau, *Poisson (co)homology and isolated singularities*, J. Algebra **299**, (2006) 747–777.
[28] O. Radko, *A classification of topologically stable Poisson structures on a compact oriented surface*, J. Symplectic Geom., Vol. 1, no. 3 (2002) 523–542.
[29] D. Roytenberg, *Poisson cohomology of $SU(2)$-covariant "necklace" Poisson structures on S^2*, J. Nonlinear Math. Phys. 9 (2002), no. 3, 347–356.
[30] C. Taubes, *The structure of pseudo-holomorphic subvarieties for a degenerate almost complex structure and symplectic form on $S^1 \times B^3$*, Geom. Topol., Vol. 2 (1998), 221–332.
[31] C. Taubes, *Seiberg-Witten invariants and pseudo-holomorphic subvarieties for self-dual, harmonic 2-forms*, Geom. Topol., Vol. 3 (1999) 167–210.
[32] R. Vera, *Near-symplectic $2n$-manifolds*, Alg. Geom. Topol. 16 no.3 (2016), 1403–1426.
[33] S. Waldmann, *Poisson-Geometrie und Deformations-quantisierung*, Springer Lehrbuch-Masterclass, Springer (2007), 612.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS & STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF CYPRUS, NICOSIA, 1678, CYPRUS

E-mail address: batakidis@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY, STATE COLLEGE, PA, 16802, USA

E-mail address: rvera.math@gmail.com, rxv15@psu.edu